

# Regular Audit Report

Dumaresq-Barwon Border Rivers Commission  
Glenlyon Dam Water Treatment Plant  
Drinking Water Quality Management Plan

SunWater  
7 August 2017



## Prepared by

Jeff Ballard  
Director  
Northern Water Management Pty Ltd  
ABN 55 150 060 585

8 Grande Parade DOUGLAS QLD 4814  
0487 481 424  
jeffballard@northernwatermanagement.com.au  
www.northernwatermanagement.com.au

© Northern Water Management Pty Ltd 2017

The information contained in this document produced by Northern Water Management Pty Ltd is solely for the use of the Client identified on the cover sheet for the purpose for which it has been prepared and Northern Water Management Pty Ltd undertakes no duty to or accepts any responsibility to any third party who may rely upon this document.

All rights reserved. No section or element of this document may be removed from this document, reproduced, electronically stored or transmitted in any form without the written permission of Northern Water Management Pty Ltd.

## Document History

Version	Author	Issue Purpose	Date
0	Jeff Ballard	Final Report	7-Aug-17

## Glossary of Terms

Acronym	Definition	Acronym	Definition
BO	Business Objective	OFI	Opportunity for Improvement
BRC	Dumaresq-Barwon Border Rivers Commission	NWM	Northern Water Management
CCP	Critical Control Point	HBT	Health Based Targets
C.t	The C.t concept describes the relative effectiveness of a disinfectant. It is determined by multiplying the concentration of residual disinfectant (in mg/L) by the contact time (in minutes)	QA	Quality assurance
DEWS	Department of Energy and Water Supply	QC	Quality control
DWQMP	Drinking Water Quality Management Plan	RMIP	Risk Management Improvement Plan
HACCP	Hazard analysis and critical control points	SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
LIMS	Laboratory Information Management System	SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
GLD	Glenlyon Dam	WTP	Water Treatment Plant

## Approval

This document is authorised for release once all signatures have been obtained.

In signing this approval, I agree that the document meets the standards required for the project and approve the project to progress.

Northern Water Management Pty Ltd:

Jeff Ballard  
Director



Signature

7-Aug-17

Date

# Table of Contents

<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>ES.1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>ES.2 Compliance Statement .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>ES.3 Audit Conclusions .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>ES.4 Recommendations .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>ES.4 Opportunities for improvement .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1.1 Background .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1.2 Objectives .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1.3 Regulatory Regime .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1.4 Audit Scope .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>1.5 Audit Criteria .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>1.6 Audit Period .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>1.7 Audit Standard .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2 Audit Method .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.1 Auditor .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.2 Audit Process .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.3 Audit Grades .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.4 Site Visits .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.5 Audit Participants .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.6 Reference Documentation .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.7 Quality Assurance Process .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>3 Audit Findings .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3.1 Summary .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3.2 Accuracy of Monitoring and Performance Data .....</b>	<b>11</b>
3.2.1 Compliance .....	11
3.2.2 Recommendations .....	12
3.2.3 Opportunities for Improvement .....	12
<b>3.3 Compliance with the Plan .....</b>	<b>13</b>
3.3.1 Compliance .....	13
3.3.2 Recommendations .....	14
3.3.3 Opportunities for Improvement .....	14
<b>3.4 Relevance of the Plan .....</b>	<b>14</b>
3.4.1 Compliance .....	14
3.4.2 Recommendations .....	15
3.4.3 Opportunities for Improvement .....	15
<b>Appendix A – Site Visit Plan .....</b>	<b>16</b>

<b>Appendix B – Opening and Closing Meeting Details.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Appendix C – Photographic Evidence .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Appendix D – Audit Checklist and Detailed Findings.....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Appendix E – Auditor and Auditee Statutory Declarations.....</b>	<b>30</b>

# Executive Summary

## ES.1 Introduction

NWM has been engaged by SunWater to undertake the first regular (external) audit of the Glenlyon Dam DWQMP. SunWater is the asset management, operations and maintenance contractor for the Dumaresq-Barwon Border Rivers Commission (service provider number 370) who is the entity responsible for Glenlyon Dam and the Glenlyon Dam WTP.

## ES.2 Compliance Statement

The auditor believes that SunWater:

- Has demonstrated an acceptable level of compliance with the regular audit imposed by the *Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008* during the audit period;
- Is generally implementing its DWQMP effectively and managing risks to drinking water quality and public health; and,
- Was found to have reasonable processes for managing drinking water incidents and progressing the risk management improvement plan.

There were no non-compliances identified. A number of opportunities for improvement were identified and are discussed later.

## ES.3 Audit Conclusions

The audit concluded that SunWater:

- Provided accurate monitoring and performance data to the regulator;
- Generally implemented the DWQMP to manage risks to public health; and,
- Generally maintained the relevance of the DWQMP.

The overall summary of compliance is shown in Table ES1. In total 70 questions were asked. Where relevant, questions were repeated in the field to confirm that management requirements were promulgated and implemented.

**Table ES.1 – Compliance Summary**

Compliance Code		Number of Findings
Compliant	Compliant	40
Compliant with Opportunity for Improvement	OFI	15
Minor Non-Compliant	Minor	0
Major Non-Compliant	Major	0
Critical Non-Compliant	Critical	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>55</b>

## ES.4 Recommendations

No recommendations have been provided for non-compliances.

## ES.4 Opportunities for improvement

The OFIs are summarised below. These have been expanded from the 15 findings.

- Specific testing for Giardia and Cryptosporidium should be done. It is suggested that this occurs during peak inflow events in the treated and raw water. As this is a relatively expensive and often returning clear or inconclusive results, it may be prudent to look at models or refer to the HBT guidelines from WSAA;
- Provide additional information in the GLD work instruction to adjust the SCADA alarms for seasonal changes.
- Update the work instructions to include a stop supply procedure and circumstances where tankered water and/or bottled would be required;
- It is recommended that site personnel and headquarters undergo a mock incident and document the findings and update procedures if required;
- As the plan review is due by 1/8/17 there will be a timing conflict and suggest future audits be conducted earlier and ahead of plan revisions;
- Update the O&M manual to include a procedure to change filter management settings for changes in source water;
- Investigate returning the sand filter to automatic backwash. This involves valve automation;
- Investigate options for colour improvement. This may involve media changes or special cleaning or coagulant introduction. It is suggested that the backwash wash is sourced from the clear water storage rather than the pre-filtrate water as it is cleaner. The current operating procedure item 36 for backwashing the sand filter indicates that the backwash water is sourced from the treated water tanks, however, it is understood it is sourced from the pre-filtrate tank;
- Investigate the reason for the 1 NTU limit in the DWQMP and if it is critical to the WTP's operational performance. If not, amend the DWQMP. If it is, then the WTP design will need to be reviewed;
- It is suggested that the Work Instruction - BRC-1m-op-op WTP-GLD, be updated to include date checking of this chemical;
- It is suggested that Work Instruction - BRC-1m-op-op WTP-GLD, be updated to include Australian Standard or WaterMark checking of pipes and related products. Check the purchase history of the pipework. Add a procedure for pipeline repairs;
- Fix the roof hatch gauze and seat the hatch properly;
- Amend the O&M manual to include a procedure for maintaining the SCADA;
- It is suggested that the Work Instruction be updated to include date checking of lab testing chemicals and for inventory stock;
- It is suggested that the Work Instruction, be updated to include instrument calibration and records;
- Review the DWQMP in regards to either improving operator training or more frequent verification testing or both;
- There is an opportunity to add target parameters in addition to threshold parameters. This may assist in avoiding poor water quality events;
- The infrastructure was inspected from the source water (the dam) through the WTP and to the caravan park. The DWQMP description was generally consistent. The schematic Dwg 220497 Rev A - "Glenlyon Dam Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Process & Instrumentation Diagram", will require a minor revision;
- There is a floating intake but this has been removed from service. The optimised water quality is determined by water quality analysis each month and the various level intakes are then selected and used. Of note was that it was not mentioned in the DWQMP but it was advised that the floating intake had only recently been removed. The current setup is in accordance with the current DWQMP. Investigate why the floating intake was removed from service. This may assist in improving colour and turbidity issues experienced.

# 1 Introduction

---

## 1.1 Background

NWM has been engaged by SunWater to undertake the first regular (external) audit of the Glenlyon Dam DWQMP. SunWater is the asset management, operations and maintenance contractor for BRC (service provider number 370) who is the entity responsible for Glenlyon Dam and the Glenlyon Dam WTP.

As required by the Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008 (the Act), SunWater, on behalf of BRC, is operating a drinking water service under an approved DWQMP.

BRC received an approval for its amended DWQMP on 2 February 2016. SunWater is required to complete the first regular audit of its approved DWQMP by 1 August 2017.

This report includes the findings and conclusions from the first regular audit of the BRC's DWQMP.

The WTP and mains reticulation system provides drinking water services to 4 houses, a small caravan park and day visitor / recreational areas together with associated sewage services (i.e., picnic area toilets). Untreated raw water is also reticulated from the WTP in a separate main for domestic non-potable, irrigation and firefighting purposes.

## 1.2 Objectives

The objectives of the audit were to:

- To provide a 'standard regular' audit of the way in which the service provider complies with its approved DWQMP to:
  - Verify the accuracy of the monitoring and performance data provided to the regulator under the plan;
  - Assess the service provider's compliance with the plan; and,
  - Assess the relevance of the plan in relation to the provider's drinking water service.
- To conduct that audit on behalf of the Department of Energy and Water Supply (DEWS) under the *Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008* (Qld) (the Act) and to report the findings of the audit to DEWS.

## 1.3 Regulatory Regime

The statutory requirements for DWQMP regular audits are detailed in the Act. The relevant provisions of the Act for providing audit reports are:

- Section 99(2)(c) - if the regulator approves the plan, the notice of the decision or information notice for the decision, will state that if the regulator requires audits of the approved plan – the intervals at which the audits must be conducted;
- Section 99(4) - the interval for regular audits will not be less than 2 years;
- Section 108(1) - the provider must arrange for regular audit reports to be prepared about the provider's plans and compliance with the plans;
- Section 108(2) - regular audit reports must be prepared in accordance with the notice given by the regulator under section 99;
- Section 108(3) states that the purpose of the regular audit report for this plan is:
  - To verify the accuracy of the monitoring and performance data provided to the regulator under the plan;



- To assess the service provider's compliance with the plan; and,
- To assess the relevance of the plan in relation to the provider's drinking water service.
- Section 108(6) outlines that the regular audit report for this plan must be prepared by a person, other than an employee of the service provider or someone employed in operating the service provider's infrastructure, who is certified under the Drinking Water Quality Management System Auditor Certification Scheme to conduct an audit of the type to which the report relates, or has a qualification the regulator is satisfied is at least equivalent to this qualification;
- Section 108(6) also states that the regular audit report must be:
  - Prepared in accordance with the guidelines made by the regulator about preparing regular audit reports;
  - Given to the regulator within 30 business days after its completion; and,
  - Made available for inspection and purchase.
- Section 575 states that the provider must keep a copy of the audit report available for inspection by the public during office hours on business days at the office of the service provider.

## **1.4 Audit Scope**

The scope of the audit was to:

- Verify the accuracy of monitoring and performance data;
- Assess SunWater's/BRC's compliance with the DWQMP; and,
- Assess the relevance of the DWQMP in relation to SunWater's/BRCs drinking water service.

## **1.5 Audit Criteria**

The following audit criteria were used:

- The approved amended DWQMP (version September 2015); and,
- Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Amendment Application – Information Notice for the Decision, dated 2 February 2016.

## **1.6 Audit Period**

The audit has covered the operating period from the date of the accepted amended DWQMP to the date of the audit.

## **1.7 Audit Standard**

The principal documents that have set the standard for this audit are as follows:

- Chapter 2 Infrastructure and service, Part 4 Service provider obligations, Division 2 Audit reports and reviews, Clauses 108 to 109 of the Act;
- Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Review and Audit Guideline (DEWS 2013); and,
- ISO 19011:2014 - Guidelines for Auditing Management Systems (the generic auditing Guideline).



## 2 Audit Method

### 2.1 Auditor

Jeff Ballard conducted the audit. Jeff's background is below.

- Exemplar Global Lead Auditor (ID 122706) for Drinking Water Quality Management Systems;
- 24 years experience as a civil engineer, with 20 years exclusively in the water sector;
- Author and peer reviewer for a number of DWQMPs;
- Has managed a number of projects for new, or augmentations of, water treatment plant designs and installations;
- Project coordinator on Townsville's drinking water quality enhancement project which involved the upgrade of the Douglas Water Treatment Plant, and the construction of the Northern Water Treatment Plant at Kinduro.
- Experienced with quality assurance systems, and asset management; and,
- Sound practical knowledge which adds value in providing realistic solutions.

The auditor confirms that:

- Sufficient evidence has been cited on which to base audit conclusions;
- The audit findings accurately reflect the professional opinion of the auditor; and,
- The audit findings have not been unduly influenced by the auditee and/or any of its associates.

### 2.2 Audit Process

The audit comprised of the following steps:

1. Information requests to SunWater, identifying necessary information and documentation required to undertake the audit;
2. Preparation of a site visit plan with timetable, resources required, and safety issues;
3. Development of audit checklist;
4. Development of audit opening and closing meeting checklists;
5. On-site audit and verification, including staff interviews;
6. Follow up discussions and requests for information and clarification;
7. Draft and final audit report preparation; and,
8. Statutory declarations by the auditor and auditee.

### 2.3 Audit Grades

The current DEWS guidelines do not provide a grading system. The table below identifies the grades used for this audit and has been taken from the document, "Drinking Water Regulatory Audit Guidance Note - Edition 5, December 2015, Victorian Department of Health and Human Services.

**Table 2.1 – Audit Grades**

Compliance Code		Description
Compliant	<b>Compliant</b>	Sufficient evidence to confirm that the agency has undertaken, prepared and/or implemented all actions in accordance with the legislation and their risk management plan.
Compliant with Opportunity for Improvement	<b>OFI</b>	As above, but the auditor's report has identified opportunities for improvement.

Compliance Code		Description
Minor Non-Compliant	Minor	Non-compliance where there is a low potential for a risk situation and the potential impact of the non-compliance is not likely to be a serious or imminent risk to public health, or compromise public health.
Major Non-Compliant	Major	Non-compliance where there is a high potential for a risk situation, likely to compromise public health if the non-compliance is not rectified.
Critical Non-Compliant	Critical	Non-compliance where a serious or imminent risk to public health is identified.

Recommendations are provided for requirements that are non-compliant, where relevant. An OFI is identified for activities which comply but may also be improved such as an opportunity for process improvement.

## 2.4 Site Visits

Site visits were undertaken on 13 July 2017 to SunWater's Headquarters in Brisbane, and in the field on 14 July 2017 to the Glenlyon Dam WTP and reticulation network, in accordance with NWM site visit plan which is provided in **Appendix A – Site Visit Plan**.

## 2.5 Audit Participants

The audit participants are identified in the table below.

**Table 2.2 – Audit Participants**

Name	Position	Opening Meeting	HQ Visit	Field Visit	Closing Meeting
Travis van den Berg	Environmental Advisor	✓	✓		✓
Nick Stanton	Water Treatment Advisor	✓	✓		✓
Gordon Delaney	Manager Environment and Water Planning	✓	✓		✓
Brendon Swan	WTP Operator	✓		✓	✓

Given the separation in distance between Brisbane headquarters and the WTP, opening and closing meetings were held at both locations. Only findings that were discovered at the particular location were discussed at the relevant closing meeting. All meeting records were disclosed to all of the above-listed contacts. Copies of the meeting minutes are provided in **Appendix B – Opening and Closing Meeting Details**.

## 2.6 Reference Documentation

The key reference documents and evidence relied on for the audit are identified in **Appendix C – Photographic Evidence** and **Appendix D – Audit Checklist and Detailed Findings**.

## 2.7 Quality Assurance Process

This audit was carried out in accordance with NWM's Quality Manual, consistent with ISO 9001:2008. Quality assurance activities undertaken during the audit included compliance with the NWM Quality Manual, and document control and approval processes.

## 3 Audit Findings

### 3.1 Summary

The completed Audit Checklist is provided in **Appendix D – Audit Checklist and Detailed Findings**. This provided the detailed findings of the audit. These findings have been summarised in the sections below.

SunWater demonstrated an acceptable level of compliance with the regular audit imposed by the *Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008* during the audit period. The overall summary of compliance is shown in the table below. In total 70 questions were asked, 48 at headquarters and 22 in the field. Where relevant, questions were repeated in the field to confirm that management requirements were promulgated and implemented. Where an observation was found that required a compliance code, this was not repeated for any of the duplicated questions.

**Table 3.1 – Audit Summary**

Compliance Code		Number of Findings
Compliant	Compliant	40
Compliant with Opportunity for Improvement	OFI	15
Minor Non-Compliant	Minor	0
Major Non-Compliant	Major	0
Critical Non-Compliant	Critical	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>55</b>

SunWater has established a DWQMP that adequately manages risk to public health and provides a framework for the safe delivery of drinking water to its customers.

The audit found that SunWater is continuously improving its drinking water framework, including the introduction of drinking water quality management related work instructions. Their management structure overlays the DWQMP's framework and in particular, integrates the incident management process displayed in the DWQMP.

The audit concluded that SunWater:

- Provided accurate monitoring and performance data to the regulator;
- Generally implemented its DWQMP to manage risks to public health; and,
- Generally maintained the relevance of the DWQMP.

### 3.2 Accuracy of Monitoring and Performance Data

#### Compliance

The audit was required to *verify the accuracy of monitoring and performance data* supplied to the regulator by SunWater under the plan, including data required under any provisions or conditions outlined in the approval notice.

The audit verified that the data supplied was accurate and SunWater was compliant with this audit area. The main findings were:

1. SunWater has a Quality Assurance System in accordance with ISO9001 and undergoes QA audits;
2. Monthly bacteriological testing has been undertaken and documents were viewed for the past 2 years of results;

3. A local lab does verification testing and has been optimised to ensure fast turnaround times;
4. The monitoring program hasn't changed but the management of it has to improve responsiveness;
5. Given the small size of the scheme, there has been no significant development in the water supply network, if any;
6. Jacobs conducted drinking water management training in 2016;
7. SunWater has a management system that ensures operators are skilled appropriately to a drinking water quality management position;
8. Work instructions for each month are sent to the operator by either the area supervisor or the operations supervisor. The SAP system auto emails a job position (and that particular person) the month work instruction;
9. Water Quality Data is entered into SAP daily. Each week Jacobs meets with Gordon Delaney of SunWater to review the past week's performance. Jacobs is contracted by SunWater to monitor Water Quality Results and to provide ongoing technical support;
10. For changes, a Change Request is sent to the planners at SunWater Headquarters. The Work Instruction is discussed and feedback occurs. Where agreed, changes are made and an updated document is finalised and added to the SAP system.
11. All monthly testing is laboratory NATA certified. There is a clear process to ensure samples cannot be mixed up;
12. There is a sewerage treatment plant at Glenlyon Dam, however, this has no monitoring requirement, hence there is no opportunity for samples to be mixed up;
13. SunWater explained the QA and management process which aligns with the DWQMP flowchart for incidents. A call is made from the laboratory for all failed results. Once the test certificate is complete, this is emailed from the lab to multiple people. These people follow the incident. This incident is lodged in the SIMON system by the first person to see the incident. Notices have been sent to DEWS;
14. There were no incidents for Glenlyon Dam in the past 12 months of records;
15. All results are reported to DEWS in the annual report which is also provided on the SunWater website;
16. GLD has an Odis filtration package plant with SCADA and a degree of automation. The use of the SCADA was discussed at length and it was concluded that the SCADA is only used in addition to the manual checking system used. This was later seen in practice in the field where the SCADA is used as a trending guide only and there is a clear distinction between manual sampling where testing is undertaken using hand held instruments, and visually checked and calibrated SCADA;
17. SCADA is generally used for alarming and set point control. The only operational monitoring data used is the usual testing undertaken by the operator, which is entered into SAP.
18. Every required parameter is recorded in SAP e.g. turbidity was checked for inclusion and was observed to be in the system.

#### Recommendations

No recommendations have been made in relation to this audit area.

#### Opportunities for Improvement

Opportunities for improvement have been made in relation to this audit area and are discussed below.

1. Specific testing for Giardia and Cryptosporidium should be done. It is suggested that this occurs during peak inflow events in the treated and raw water. As this is a relatively expensive and often returning clear or inconclusive results, it may be prudent to look at models or refer to the HBT guidelines from WSAA;
2. Provide additional information in the GLD work instruction to adjust the SCADA alarms for seasonal changes.

### 3.3 Compliance with the Plan

#### Compliance

SunWater demonstrated adequate compliance with this audit area. The DWQMP is generally implemented effectively to manage risks to drinking water quality. The auditor assessed the following specific components for compliance with this audit area:

1. The plan was checked against the approval notice of 2/2/16 and found no issues;
2. The Jacobs contract has conditions on reporting deadlines. No incidents had occurred therefore there was nothing to track through. SunWater was audited for process management and found no issues;
3. Microbiological sampling is undertaken at points of supply. The incident process was reviewed and was found to be similar to other incidents described by SunWater. The flowchart found in the DWQMP was explained and found to be robust;
4. As part of the Jacobs contract, Jacobs must undertake a 2 yearly asset condition assessment. This is in addition to the operational and service work instructions where the SAP system produces the required work (monthly, 6 monthly, yearly) to be undertaken under the scheduled maintenance program. Assets can be tracked via their Asset ID. Jacobs provides a heavy maintenance list which is programmed into SAP;
5. There have been no incidents, however, some poor water quality observations were escalated using SunWater's framework, which has demonstrated the process is working;
6. The required improvement plan items have been undertaken;
7. 2 operators were searched for and were found with the required Certificate III training. From Jacobs, experience was viewed for Nick Stanton. For SunWater experience was reviewed for Gordon Delaney and Travis van Berg. These were found to be satisfactory;
8. The WTP site is fully fenced and locked;
9. At the WTP, the pipework was inspected and found that there was 1 opportunity for a short circuit to occur. Item No.44 in the work instruction clearly shows a procedure to avoid potential short-circuiting from the raw water tank to the intermediate tank. If short circuiting was to occur the carbon filter would require working harder;
10. The sand filter is backwashed with pre filtrate water from the intermediate storage. The backwash goes directly to ground;
11. The carbon filter is backwashed with pre filtrate water from the intermediate storage. It is suggested that the clear water storage is used. The carbon filter undergoes a rinse cycle prior to production to the clear water storage.
12. The operator informed the auditor that no lime dosing is required. There was no information available to investigate this question. However, the pH results were adequate for the 3 periods investigated;
13. The auditor checked the plan and went through the site pipework with the operator and found nothing to suggest that a bypass exists;
14. Safe work method statement, AM28 etc, are either mailed from Goondiwindi to the site or downloaded and printed daily or when used to ensure the latest version is used. The paper versions are kept in the daily log book. Generally, all current tasks can be performed using the printouts. This audit check only covered the daily procedure;
15. SCADA data for the WTP is stored on site with the set points in accordance with the DWQMP. See above question for checks undertaken during the audit. There are alarms for low and high Cl<sub>2</sub> and for power loss;
16. Manual checks are undertaken in lieu of automated checks. These are compared to AM28;
17. A review of the DWQMP showed that the chlorine residual at the caravan park was variable between approx. 0.4 - 3.6 mg/L. The chlorine residual at the clear water storage (DWQMP page 499) also varied between approx. 0.3-5 mg/L. The recent water quality information spreadsheet for 07/07/16 - 15/06/17 showed township residual is between 0.7-2.4 mg/L, which is an improvement from the DWQMP data;
18. There have been no recorded positive E.coli results;
19. pH was found to consistently be within the acceptable range;

## Recommendations

No recommendations have been made in relation to this audit area.

## Opportunities for Improvement

The following opportunities for improvement have been made in relation to this audit area:

1. Update the work instructions to include a stop supply procedure and circumstances where tankered water and/or bottled would be required;
2. It is recommended that site personnel and headquarters undergo a mock incident and document the findings and update procedures if required;
3. As the plan review is due by 1/8/17 there will be a timing conflict and suggest future audits be conducted earlier and ahead of plan revisions;
4. Update the O&M manual to include a procedure to change filter management settings for changes in source water;
5. Investigate returning the sand filter to automatic backwash. This involves valve automation;
6. Investigate options for colour improvement. This may involve media changes or special cleaning or coagulant introduction. It is suggested that the backwash wash is sourced from the clear water storage rather than the pre-filtrate water as it is cleaner. The current operating procedure item 36 for backwashing the sand filter indicates that the backwash water is sourced from the treated water tanks, however, it is understood it is sourced from the pre-filtrate tank;
7. Investigate the reason for the 1 NTU limit in the DWQMP and if it is critical to the WTP's operational performance. If not, amend the DWQMP. If it is, then the WTP design will need to be reviewed;
8. It is suggested that the Work Instruction - BRC-1m-op-op WTP-GLD, be updated to include date checking of this chemical;
9. It is suggested that Work Instruction - BRC-1m-op-op WTP-GLD, be updated to include Australian Standard or WaterMark checking of pipes and related products. Check the purchase history of the pipework. Add a procedure for pipeline repairs;
10. Fix the roof hatch gauze and seat the hatch properly;
11. Amend the O&M manual to include a procedure for maintaining the SCADA;
12. It is suggested that the Work Instruction be updated to include date checking of lab testing chemicals and for inventory stock;
13. It is suggested that the Work Instruction, be updated to include instrument calibration and records;
14. Review the DWQMP in regards to either improving operator training or more frequent verification testing or both;
15. There is an opportunity to add target parameters in addition to threshold parameters. This may assist in avoiding poor water quality events.

## 3.4 Relevance of the Plan

### Compliance

The audit was required to assess the relevance of the DWQMP. The auditor assessed the following components for compliance:

1. As above, the major change has been the introduction of the work instructions for the site. The DWQMP incident management flow chart will be updated to reflect management structure changes where required but it is currently in a sound state;
2. There has been no change in water source or plant;
3. SunWater's management processes are adequate to capture improvement needs;
4. The annual report is used to notify DEWS of any changes;
5. The differences identified above are not significant in terms of water quality risk. The catchment was discussed and found to not have seen any significant changes.



## Recommendations

No recommendations have been made in relation to this audit area.

## Opportunities for Improvement

The following opportunities for improvement have been made in relation to this audit area:

1. The infrastructure was inspected from the source water (the dam) through the WTP and to the caravan park. The DWQMP description was generally consistent. The schematic Dwg 220497 Rev A - "Glenlyon Dam Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Process & Instrumentation Diagram", will require a minor revision;
2. There is a floating intake but this has been removed from service. The optimised water quality is determined by water quality analysis each month and the various level intakes are then selected and used. Of note was that it was not mentioned in the DWQMP but it was advised that the floating intake had only recently been removed. The current setup is in accordance with the current DWQMP. Investigate why the floating intake was removed from service. This may assist in improving colour and turbidity issues experienced.



## Appendix A – Site Visit Plan

---

## SITE VISIT PLAN – DWQMP AUDITS

NWM-Tem-90B Site Visit Plan - DWQMP Audits Revision 0

<b>Project</b>	2017-0009 Regulatory Audit of SunWater & Border Rivers' Drinking Water Quality Management Plans		<b>Date</b>	5/07/2017
<b>Client</b>	SunWater			
<b>Sites</b>	1. Clare WTP (Field audit of the 7 Small Registered Water Supply Services); 2. SunWater Head Office, Brisbane (combined audit of both DWQMPs); and, 3. Glenlyon Dam WTP, Stanthorpe (Field audit of the Border Rivers' DWQMP).			
<b>Participants</b>	<b><u>Name</u></b>	<b><u>Organisation</u></b>		
	Jeff Ballard	NWM		
	Leigh Chapple (Clare WTP)	SunWater		
	Nick Stanton (Brisbane Head Office)	SunWater		
	Travis van den Berg (Brisbane Head Office)	SunWater		
	Gordon Delaney (Brisbane Head Office)	SunWater		
	Brendon Swan (Glenlyon Dam WTP)	SunWater		

### 1. Background

Site visits are required for the Regulatory audits (2 of). General details are below.

Item	Details
Audit dates	Thursday 06/07/2017 Clare WTP Thursday 13/07/2017 Brisbane Office Friday 14/07/2017 Glenlyon Dam
Objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ To provide 'standard regular' audits of the way in which the client complies with its approved Drinking Water Quality Management Plans (DWQMPs) to:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Verify the accuracy of the monitoring and performance data provided to the regulator under the plan;</li><li>○ Assess the service provider's compliance with the plan; and,</li><li>○ Assess the relevance of the plan in relation to the provider's drinking water service.</li></ul></li><li>▪ To conduct that audit on behalf of the Department of Energy and Water Supply (DEWS) under the <i>Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008</i> (Qld) (the Act) and to report the findings of the audit to DEWS and the client.</li></ul>
Audit Standard	<p>The principal documents that will set the standard for this audit are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Chapter 2 Infrastructure and service, Part 4 Service provider obligations, Division 2 Audit reports and reviews, Clauses 108 to 109 of the Act.</li><li>▪ Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Review and Audit Guideline (DEWS 2013)</li><li>▪ ISO 19011:2011 - <i>Guidelines for auditing management systems</i> (the generic auditing Guideline).</li></ul>
Scope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Audit type: 'Standard regular' audits of the DWQMPs.</li><li>▪ Criteria:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Relevant clauses of the Act, associated DEWS regulations and guidelines and any relevant notices provided to the client by DEWS;</li><li>○ Relevant components of the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG);</li><li>○ Follow up of recommendations from previous audits, if relevant;</li></ul></li><li>▪ Sites: The audit will sample randomly selected sites to be agreed with the client;</li><li>▪ Records: The audit will sample randomly selected records to be agreed with the client;</li><li>▪ Services: Drinking water;</li><li>▪ Audit period: From the date the plan was approved, as per the approval notice, or from the date of the last Regulatory audit, through to the date of this audit.</li></ul>
Deliverables and timing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ June 2017: Project award.</li><li>▪ June 2017: Selection of sites and records to review and finalisation of audit agenda.</li><li>▪ July 2017: Supply of background data and information to the auditor.</li><li>▪ July 2017: Site audits x 3.</li><li>▪ Mid to late July 2017: Draft audit report to the client for review (Border Rivers);</li></ul>

Item	Details
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Late July 2017: Final audit report to the client and DEWS (deadline 31 July 2017) (Border Rivers).</li> <li>▪ Early August 2017: Draft audit report to the client for review (7 Small Registered Water Supply Services);</li> <li>▪ Mid-August 2017: Final audit report to the client and DEWS (deadline 15 August 2017) (7 Small Registered Water Supply Services).</li> </ul>
Personnel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Lead Auditor: Jeff Ballard. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Exemplar Global Lead Auditor (ID 122706) for Drinking Water Quality Management Systems;</li> <li>○ 24 years experience as a civil engineer, with 20 years exclusively in the water sector;</li> <li>○ Author and peer reviewer for a number of DWQMPs;</li> <li>○ Has managed a number of projects for new, or augmentations of, water treatment plant designs and installations;</li> <li>○ Project coordinator on Townsville's drinking water quality enhancement project which involved the upgrade of the Douglas Water Treatment Plant, and the construction of the Northern Water Treatment Plant at Kinduro.</li> <li>○ Experienced with quality assurance systems, and asset management;</li> <li>○ Sound practical knowledge which adds value in providing realistic solutions.</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ Quality assurance: Dan Deere, Water Futures.</li> </ul>

## 2. General Reporting and Auditing Format

The audit report will generally follow the structure below with 3 aspects being presented:

Details	Evidence	Compliance
Summary of what was being audited and what was observed	Details of records viewed, who was spoken to and infrastructure or scheme components sighted.	Summary of compliance status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Compliant;</li> <li>▪ Opportunity for Improvement.</li> <li>▪ Minor non-compliance;</li> <li>▪ Major non-compliance; or,</li> <li>▪ Critical non-compliance.</li> </ul>

Topic Area	Information Source: Headquarters or Field Audit
Verify accuracy of monitoring and performance data	
Verification monitoring	Headquarters
Operational monitoring	Headquarters
Additional monitoring and performance data (if any)	Headquarters
Assessment of compliance with the plan	
The provisions and conditions in the approval notice	Headquarters
Implementation of all preventive measures for managing hazards and hazardous events as described in the plan	Headquarters and Field
Implementation of operational and maintenance procedures	Headquarters and Field
Implementation of the process for managing incidents and emergencies as described in the plan	Headquarters
Implementation of the operational and verification monitoring programs as described in the plan	Headquarters and Field
Implementation of the risk management improvement program as described in the plan	Headquarters
Maintaining records using the information management systems as described in the plan	Headquarters and Field
Undertaking regular reviews at the frequency specified in the approval notice.	Headquarters
Assessment of relevance of the plan as it currently exists	

Topic Area	Information Source: Headquarters or Field Audit
Assessing whether the service description and details of infrastructure in the plan reflect the current circumstances for each scheme	Headquarters and Field comparison
Confirming the information in the plan used to identify hazards and hazardous events reflects the current circumstances for each scheme (including catchment characteristics, water quality information and infrastructure)	Headquarters and Field comparison

### 3. Site Visit Agenda

Time	Location	Staff	Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions
			Clare Field Audit	
			Opening Meeting	
9:00	Clare Office		Introduction and welcome	Clarify the audit period;
				Finalise the audit agenda;
				Run through opening meeting checklist.
			Safety Induction – if required	
9:15	Clare Office		-	-
7 Schemes DWQMP Part 4 - Assessment of compliance with the plan				
9:30	Clare Office/WTP		Implementation of all preventive measures for managing hazards and hazardous events as described in the plan	How are assets maintained in a secure, functional and readily operable state in order to protect water quality outcomes?
				Are water and wastewater crews, tools and equipment are largely separated? (if relevant).
				How are parts, fittings and chemicals that might come into contact with drinking water stored? Are they clean, in good condition, and in date?
				How are the stored materials that may come into contact with water (e.g. pipes and jointing compounds) sourced and quality assured (inventory kept and traced)?
				Is the site fully fenced and secured?
				How are vermin prevented from getting into the clear water storage? Is the ladder locked? Condition of infrastructure.
				How well are you aware of cross-contamination risks?
				Water stabilisation - is there enough buffering capacity and corrosion inhibition of the distributed water (pH control)?
				Does the plant have a bypass? If so, is there any chance that untreated water can bypass the plant and enter the drinking water system?
			Implementation of operational and maintenance procedures	Can I see where you store the site procedures? Are these up to date? Can you perform all of your inspections using these procedures? Are there any missing?
				Do you have jar testing equipment on site? Are they functional? Is there a jar testing procedure?
			Implementation of the operational and verification monitoring	What are the operational monitoring instruments reading during the audit, how does that compare to the DWQMP, and how are the instruments and SCADA outputs routinely verified and calibrated?

Time	Location	Staff	Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions
			programs as described in the plan	<p>What are the SCADA system process control set points during the audit, how do they compare to the DWQMP, and how are they modified and controlled? i.e. Are SCADA set points entered correctly into the system and match the monitoring plan? Check Turbidity, Cl<sub>2</sub>, and pH. Record real time and last 6 months of data.</p> <p>How are chemicals, standards and reagents stored and maintained to ensure their quality and efficacy? Consider both treatment chemicals that are added to the water and laboratory chemicals used for monitoring purposes.</p> <p>Are instruments adequately housed? Are they identified for QA control - calibration?</p> <p>How often are the critical limit parameters checked?</p> <p>Can you show me the calibration records for a turbidity meter (look for compliance frequency)?</p> <p>Are there independent checks undertaken by a laboratory?</p> <p>Check for a fluoridation plant - if relevant, check for calibration and if QLD Health has also done an audit within the past year.</p>
			Maintaining records using the information management systems as described in the plan	How are records stored and reported as they relate to water quality operational monitoring?
			End of Part 4	Statement to auditee on progress - going well, summarise findings are accurate with auditee.
			<b>7 Schemes DWQMP Part 5 - Assessment of relevance of the plan as it currently exists</b>	
11:45	Clare WTP		Assessing whether the service description and details of infrastructure in the plan reflect the current circumstances for each scheme	How does the infrastructure in the field compare to the DWQMP description? Field inspect random samples from the catchment, source, treatment and network for the selected system and compare to the DWQMP description.
			Confirming the information in the plan used to identify hazards and hazardous events reflects the current circumstances for each scheme (including catchment characteristics, water quality information and infrastructure)	Subject to the above question, if there are differences, are there any significant risk issues? When was this last reviewed?
			End of Part 5	Statement to auditee on progress - going well, summarise findings are accurate with auditee.
			<b>Follow Up Session</b>	
12:30	Clare Office		Follow up	Addressing any minor remaining items that come up during the audit, if required

Time	Location	Staff	Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions
				Collation of audit findings and preparation for feedback to field staff
			<b>Closing Meeting</b>	
12:45	Clare Office		Closing meeting	<b>Summary of findings to field staff - see closing meeting proforma and flagged items</b>  General findings from the site inspection;  Any specific operational or safety issues; and,  Report timing.
13:00			Close of field audit	
			<b>Headquarters Audit (Combined Audit - Questions below will be asked for both DWQMPs)</b>	
			<b>Opening Meeting</b>	
9:00	HQ		Introduction and welcome	Clarify the audit period;  Finalise the audit agenda;  Run through opening meeting checklist.
			<b>Combined Audit Part 1 - Verify accuracy of monitoring and performance data</b>	
9:45	HQ		Verification monitoring	<b>How does the auditee ensure compliance between the DWQMP and the verification monitoring program?</b>  Do you continually actively improve the verification water quality analysis program in various respects?  How extensive is the current program and covers the full range of chemical, physical and microbial parameters that would be expected for its water supplies?  Do you regularly review your sampling program and make many improvements to it? For instance, a particular effort has been made to ensure that all reservoir zones are included? (i.e. location based, where relevant).  Are sampling points changing over time in response to improvements that are identified and in response to growth?  Does the sample regime provide good coverage of the whole water supply system?  Where do you sample taps, on public/council/client land or in other public spaces rather than within private properties?  Are pesticides measured? (if relevant).  <b>How does the auditee ensure the reliability of monitoring results? Consider sampling site selection, sampling, transport of samples, analysis, quality assurance and control, reporting and communication.</b>  Have there been any move to have most treated water verification samples collected by laboratory staff rather than operators, with the exception of some remote samples.  Does the laboratory provide training in sampling and calibration to operators? Or do you go to a registered training organisation?  Do operators take part in the Certificate III module relating to water sampling and making chlorine measurements?

Time	Location	Staff	Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions
				What are the sampling frequencies? Do these generally match or exceed the ADWG 'defaults'? (Ref: ADWG Table 9.4 >100,000 = 6/wk/monitoring zone + 1/mon for each 10,000 above 100,000; 5,000–100,000 = 1/wk/monitoring zone + 1/mon for each 5,000 above 5,000; 1,000–5,000 = 1/wk/monitoring zone; or, <1000 = 1/wk/monitoring zone, but balance with logistics.
				Do you examine water quality in terms of quality at the meter, and quality at the customer tap? The question is in relation to internal plumbing fittings influencing results.
				How are sampling schedules set up (in a database and can be viewed in calendar view mode and Excel format)?
				How is the chain of custody managed? NATA?
				Are water and wastewater samples separated to avoid a false positive? (if relevant).
				How are the test results kept? Is there a traceable history?
				How are the results reported internally? i.e. for negative results, what happens? Any highlighting? Process improvement notice?
				How are target exceedances managed in comparison to ADWG exceedances?
				Can any of the negative samples be left and not addressed? How is this avoided?
				Audit some records of a sample of results through from sample receipt to reporting.
				Look at a sample - has client measured temperature data with every microbial test sample.
				How have such monitoring results been reported to DEWS?
			Operational monitoring	<b>How does the auditee ensure compliance between the DWQMP and the SCADA systems?</b>
				Are the relevant parameters measured on SCADA? - check plan again screen information.
				How are they monitored, constantly, or by alarm?
				What occurs after hours? (telemetry)
				<b>How does the auditee ensure the reliability of monitoring results? Consider analyser sample line site selection, verification and calibration, reporting and communication.</b>
				Audit some records of a sample of results from the SCADA systems through to reporting.
				Is there a process of updating the SCADA alarms in line with monitoring program changes or seasonal changes?
			How have such monitoring results been reported to DEWS?	
			Additional monitoring and performance data (if any)	Are there additional data sets worth noting?
12:00			Lunch	
Combined Audit Part 2 - Assessment of compliance with the plan				



Time	Location	Staff	Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions
12:30	HQ		The provisions and conditions in the approval notice	Auditor to review plan against approval notice for accuracy.
				Is there an updated approval notice?
				How have you actively recorded and tracked compliance with its obligations under the approval notices?
			Implementation of all preventive measures for managing hazards and hazardous events as described in the plan	How is sewage to potable water cross-contamination mitigated? (if relevant).
				How is suspected contamination of compromised mains identified and mitigated?
				Do you have sufficient storage to avoid being forced to supply breached water in the event of short exceedances? How long can you store water for?
			Implementation of operational and maintenance procedures	How are assets maintained in a secure, functional and readily operable state in order to protect water quality outcomes?
				How are materials that may come into contact with water (e.g. pipes and jointing compounds) sourced, stored and quality assured?
			Implementation of the process for managing incidents and emergencies as described in the plan	How does the auditee maintain readiness to response to water quality incidents? Consider detection and communication of incident triggers, duty arrangements, incident management facilities and documents.
				Have there been any examples of incidents during the audit period?
				How have incidents been reported to DEWS? If no examples, how would this be done (e.g. flowchart, escalation reporting protocol, names and phone numbers)? What is sent to QLD Health? What is sent to the public?
				Have you practiced mock incidents during the audit period?
				Can you demonstrate that you have proactively and continually improved your monitoring program (revision control)? We are looking for feedback from the field that triggers changes to the program.
				Are SCADA set points entered correctly into the system and match the monitoring plan? Check Turbidity, Cl2, and pH.
				Let's run through the improvement plan and pick 3 critical examples of actions that should now be complete - are they complete? If not, what is being done?
				Maintaining records using the information management systems as described in the plan
			Undertaking regular reviews at the frequency specified in the approval notice.	What reviews have occurred since the plan approval?
			End of Part 2	
				Combined Audit Part 3 - Assessment of relevance of the plan as it currently exists

Time	Location	Staff	Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions
15:15	HQ		Assessing whether the service description and details of infrastructure in the plan reflect the current circumstances for each scheme	What has changed since the DWQMP was approved by DEWS? These changes may include personnel, procedures, documents, records, responsibilities, environment, infrastructure, regulations, legislation, guidelines or organisational structure and contractors.
			Confirming the information in the plan used to identify hazards and hazardous events reflects the current circumstances for each scheme (including catchment characteristics, water quality information and infrastructure)	How has the risk assessment and DWQMP been updated to reflect those changes? How are improvement needs identified and how are improvements made and managed? How have such changes been reported to DEWS?
			End of Part 3	
			Follow Up Session	
16:00	HQ		Follow up	Addressing any minor remaining items that come up during the audit, if required
				Collation of audit findings and preparation for feedback to field staff
			Closing Meeting	
16:30	HQ		Closing meeting	<b>Summary of findings to field staff - see closing meeting proforma and flagged items</b>  General findings from the site inspection;  Any specific operational or safety issues; and,  Report timing.
17:00			Close of HQ audit	
			Glenlyon Dam WTP Field Audit	
			Opening Meeting	
9:00	Glenlyon Dam WTP		Introduction and welcome	Clarify the audit period;
				Finalise the audit agenda;
				Run through opening meeting checklist.
			Safety Induction – if required	
9:15	Glenlyon Dam WTP		-	-
			Border Rivers Scheme DWQMP Part 4 - Assessment of compliance with the plan	
9:30	Glenlyon Dam WTP		Implementation of all preventive measures for managing hazards and hazardous	How are assets maintained in a secure, functional and readily operable state in order to protect water quality outcomes?
				Are water and wastewater crews, tools and equipment are largely separated?

Time	Location	Staff	Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions
			events as described in the plan	How are parts, fittings and chemicals that might come into contact with drinking water stored? Are they clean, in good condition, and in date?
				How are the stored materials that may come into contact with water (e.g. pipes and jointing compounds) sourced and quality assured (inventory kept and traced)?
				Is the site fully fenced and secured?
				How are vermin prevented from getting into the clear water storage? Is the ladder locked? Condition of infrastructure.
				How well are you aware of cross-contamination risks?
				Water stabilisation - is there enough buffering capacity and corrosion inhibition of the distributed water (pH control)?
				Does the plant have a bypass? If so, is there any chance that untreated water can bypass the plant and enter the drinking water system?
			Implementation of operational and maintenance procedures	Can I see where you store the site procedures? Are these up to date? Can you perform all of your inspections using these procedures? Are there any missing?
				Do you have jar testing equipment on site? Are they functional? Is there a jar testing procedure?
			Implementation of the operational and verification monitoring programs as described in the plan	What are the operational monitoring instruments reading during the audit, how does that compare to the DWQMP, and how are the instruments and SCADA outputs routinely verified and calibrated?
				What are the SCADA system process control set points during the audit, how do they compare to the DWQMP, and how are they modified and controlled? i.e. Are SCADA set points entered correctly into the system and match the monitoring plan? Check Turbidity, Cl <sub>2</sub> , and pH. Record real time and last 6 months of data.
				How are chemicals, standards and reagents stored and maintained to ensure their quality and efficacy? Consider both treatment chemicals that are added to the water and laboratory chemicals used for monitoring purposes.
				Are instruments adequately housed? Are they identified for QA control - calibration?
				How often are the critical limit parameters checked?
				Can you show me the calibration records for a turbidity meter (look for compliance frequency)?
				Are there independent checks undertaken by a laboratory?
				Check for a fluoridation plant - if relevant, check for calibration and if QLD Health has also done an audit within the past year.
			Maintaining records using the information management systems as described in the plan	How are records stored and reported as they relate to water quality operational monitoring?
			End of Part 4	
			<b>Border Rivers Scheme DWQMP Part 5 - Assessment of relevance of the plan as it currently exists</b>	

Time	Location	Staff	Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions
11:45	Glenlyon Dam WTP		Assessing whether the service description and details of infrastructure in the plan reflect the current circumstances for each scheme	How does the infrastructure in the field compare to the DWQMP description? Field inspect random samples from the catchment, source, treatment and network for the selected system and compare to the DWQMP description.
			Confirming the information in the plan used to identify hazards and hazardous events reflects the current circumstances for each scheme (including catchment characteristics, water quality information and infrastructure)	Subject to the above question, if there are differences, are there any significant risk issues? When was this last reviewed?
			End of Part 5	
			Follow Up Session	
12:30	Glenlyon Dam WTP		Follow up	Addressing any minor remaining items that come up during the audit, if required
				Collation of audit findings and preparation for feedback to field staff
			Closing Meeting	
12:45	Glenlyon Dam WTP		Closing meeting	<b>Summary of findings to field staff - see closing meeting proforma and flagged items</b>  General findings from the site inspection;  Any specific operational or safety issues; and,  Report timing.
13:00			Close of field audit	

## 4. Resources Required

Resource	Preparation
Travel and accommodation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Book:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Flights;</li> <li>Accommodation; and,</li> <li>Hire vehicle.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Reference documentation on laptop	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Take a laptop;</li> <li>AC power pack.</li> </ul>
QA Documentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This site visit plan;</li> <li>opening and closing meeting proformas; and,</li> <li>site audit checklists highlighting areas for discussion.</li> </ul>
Other Documentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Information found in background documentation highlighting areas for discussion.</li> </ul>
Writing resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Take folder, notebook and pens.</li> </ul>

Resource	Preparation
Phone camera with GPS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Phone charged;</li> <li>▪ GPS turned on recording during inspections;</li> <li>▪ Power bank and cable.</li> </ul>

## 5. Safety Issues

Concern	Action
General Safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Adhere to client general induction and site-specific inductions;</li> <li>▪ Wear appropriate PPE – long pants and shirt, capped boots.</li> </ul>
Inspections on road side if relevant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ High-Vis Vest;</li> <li>▪ Keep off road side or stay in vehicle;</li> <li>▪ Vehicle hazard lights on.</li> </ul>
Sun exposure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Appropriate clothing, hat and sunscreen as per client requirements.</li> </ul>
Uneven Slopes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Take care when traversing the area.</li> </ul>
Dangerous Animals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Snakes – Stay away from long grass, or make noise, walk cautiously, if relevant.</li> </ul>
Unfamiliar Site Hazards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Site Induction.</li> </ul>
Mechanical hazards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Do not touch the plant.</li> </ul>
Electrical hazards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Do not touch plant.</li> </ul>
Chemical hazards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Do not touch plant, materials, or test equipment.</li> </ul>
Fall from Height if relevant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Require training to participate, follow procedures, if relevant.</li> </ul>
Drowning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Stay away from streams, intake, tanks, if relevant.</li> </ul>
Becoming lost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ GPS and maps.</li> </ul>
Dehydration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Carry drinking water throughout the inspection;</li> <li>▪ Carry water bladder in the vehicle.</li> </ul>
Fatigue	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Carry snacks.</li> </ul>

## Appendix B – Opening and Closing Meeting Details

---

**DWQMP AUDIT - OPENING MEETING**

NWM-Tem105 DWQMP Audit - Opening Meeting Revision 0

**Project** 2017-0009 Regulatory Audit – SunWater & Border Rivers' DWQMPs **Date** 13/7/2017  
**Subject** Drinking Water Quality Management Plan **Time** 9:00 am/pm  
**Client** SunWater  
**Venue** SunWater Headquarters - Brisbane  
**Participants**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organisation</u>
Jeff Ballard	NWM
Nick Stanton	SunWater <i>Jacobs</i>
Travis van den Berg	SunWater
Gordon Delaney	SunWater <i>11 Am</i>

**Apologies** Nil  
**Distribution** As above

No.	Item	✓	Details and Comments
1.	▪ Welcome	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Welcome to everybody;</li> <li>Thanks for choosing NWM;</li> <li>I am looking forward to spending time with you today and get to see how things are going;</li> <li>15-20 minutes to cover the details about today's audit.</li> </ul>
2.	▪ Introduce your team	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Jeff Ballard – Lead Auditor;</li> <li>Dan Deere – QA.</li> </ul>
3.	▪ State the reasons for the audit	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As required by the Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008 (the Act);</li> <li>Client is operating its drinking water services under 2 approved DWQMPs;</li> <li>Client is required to complete the first regular audit of its approved DWQMP by 31 July 2017 and 15 August 2017.</li> </ul>
4.	▪ State the standard that you are auditing against	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Review and Audit Guideline (DEWS 2013);</li> <li>ISO 19011:2014 - Guidelines for Auditing Management Systems (the generic auditing Guideline).</li> </ul>
5.	▪ Clarify the audit period	✓	First audit from the date from the <u>original</u> approved plan. <i>Revised</i> <i>from 2017</i>
6.	▪ Finalise the audit agenda and scope – go through Site Visit Agenda	✓	Confirm changes if any.
7.	▪ Check who is available for the audit	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm attendees;</li> <li>Interviews will only be conducted with people at appropriate levels within the audit scope;</li> <li>If at any time any auditee feels that we are asking questions to the wrong person, that person will need to advise us.</li> </ul>
8.	▪ Confirm any logistics (rooms, lunch, guides, etc.)	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rooms;</li> <li>Lunch;</li> <li>WTP.</li> </ul>
9.	▪ Company Rules / WH&S	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The auditors' intention to following all company rules and safety precautions;</li> <li>Induction required?</li> <li>If we find an issue of significant risk, where it be WH&amp;S or related to DWQ, we will bring it to the attention of the auditee immediately.</li> </ul>
10.	▪ Remind auditees that the audit is confidential	✓	Make a general commitment to maintain confidentiality related to the evidence gathered and conclusions generated during the audit.



No.	Item	✓	Details and Comments
11.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Check that any documents sent to you before the audit are still current i.e. work documents are appropriate to the scope</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List work documents received and are appropriate to the scope:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Client DWQMPs x 2;</li> <li>Clare WTP water quality Monitoring Results;</li> <li>SunWater dwqmp-annual report 2015_2016 Rev 0;</li> <li>Glenlyon Dam dwqmp-annual report 2015_2016 Rev 0;</li> <li>Glenlyon Dam Water Treatment Plant Water Quality Results.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
12.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State that you are only looking at a sample of the system</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The audit will be a representative sampling of evidence, not a 100% inspection;</li> <li>We believe our sample will provide an accurate snapshot of current operations.</li> </ul>
13.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How information relevant to audit objectives, scope and criteria is collected</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The questions we will ask will mostly be from the list of questions sent to you;</li> <li>If some are not relevant we will remove them from the scope;</li> <li>Some additional questions may be asked to clarify the answers provided;</li> <li>The scope of this audit is how the DWQMP has been implemented;</li> <li>The criteria are the 3 main sections of the state's auditing guideline as described in the site visit plan.</li> <li>information is gathered from a variety of sources including:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>interviews with employees and other persons;</li> <li>observation of activities;</li> <li>documents and records;</li> <li>information systems; and,</li> <li>customer feedback if relevant.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
14.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm how you will communicate findings during the audit</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generally, from the structure of the site visit plan;</li> <li>Verbal information will be reported as verbal;</li> <li>Only verifiable written information is identified as audit evidence and will need to be recorded for the report;</li> <li>We are looking for objective evidence, not subjective;</li> <li>Potential non-conformities will be reviewed with the auditee to ensure evidence is accurate and complete and that reason for nonconformity is understood;</li> <li>Any unresolved differences of opinion concerning evidence and/or findings are recorded;</li> <li>There will be a follow up session just prior to the closing meeting to close out any outstanding questions;</li> <li>Gradings will be: Compliant, Opportunity of Improvement / Minor Non-Compliance / Major Non-Compliance, Critical Non-Compliance.</li> </ul>
15.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm time, location and attendees for the closing meeting</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>From Site Visit Plan.</li> </ul>
16.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allow any questions</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil</li> </ul>
17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Thanks again</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Thank you again for choosing us, and we look forward to today.</li> </ul>
18.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other notes</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil</li> </ul>

Jeff Ballard

Auditor Name



Auditor Signature

# DWQMP AUDIT - CLOSING MEETING

NWM-Tem107 DWQMP Audit - Closing Meeting Revision 0

**Project** 2017-0009 Regulatory Audit – SunWater & Border Rivers' DWQMPs

**Date** 13/7/2017

**Subject** Drinking Water Quality Management Plan

**Time** 3:30 am/pm

**Client** SunWater

**Venue** SunWater Headquarters - Brisbane

**Participants** Name Organisation

Jeff Ballard

NWM

Nick Stanton

SunWater

Travis van den Berg

SunWater

Gordon Delaney

SunWater

**Apologies** Nil

**Distribution** As above

No.	Item	✓	Details and Comments
1.	▪ Thankyou	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Thank the auditees for their time;</li> <li>▪ Thank them for allowing you to audit them;</li> <li>▪ 15-20 minutes to cover the details about today's audit.</li> </ul>
2.	▪ Reason for the audit	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ As required by the Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008 (the Act);</li> <li>▪ The client is operating its drinking water service under an approved DWQMP;</li> <li>▪ The client is required to complete the first regular audit of its approved DWQMPs by 30 July 2017 and 15 August 2017.</li> </ul>
3.	▪ Standard that you audited against	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Review and Audit Guideline (DEWS 2013);</li> <li>▪ ISO 19011:2014 - Guidelines for Auditing Management Systems (the generic auditing Guideline).</li> </ul>
4.	▪ Confidentiality	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Remind everyone that the audit is confidential.</li> </ul>
5.	▪ Sample	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ State that you have only looked at a sample of the system.</li> </ul>
6.	▪ State if the audit is invalid	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ You may have needed to see a specific activity and you did not see this.</li> </ul>
7.	▪ Explain how you will present your findings	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Show them the audit template;</li> <li>▪ Show them the scoring system.</li> </ul>
8.	▪ Provide a closing summary on how the audit has gone (good points)	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ See Audit Checklist .</li> <li>▪ - no non-conformances found but subject to information to be sent to the auditor ✓</li> </ul>





**DWQMP AUDIT - OPENING MEETING**

NWM-Tem105 DWQMP Audit - Opening Meeting Revision 0

<b>Project</b>	2017-0009 Regulatory Audit – SunWater & Border Rivers' DWQMPs	<b>Date</b>	14/7/2017						
<b>Subject</b>	Drinking Water Quality Management Plan	<b>Time</b>	9:00 am/pm						
<b>Client</b>	SunWater								
<b>Venue</b>	Glenlyon Dam WTP								
<b>Participants</b>	<table><tr><td><u><b>Name</b></u></td><td><u><b>Organisation</b></u></td></tr><tr><td>Jeff Ballard</td><td>NWM</td></tr><tr><td>Brendan Swan</td><td>SunWater</td></tr></table>	<u><b>Name</b></u>	<u><b>Organisation</b></u>	Jeff Ballard	NWM	Brendan Swan	SunWater		
<u><b>Name</b></u>	<u><b>Organisation</b></u>								
Jeff Ballard	NWM								
Brendan Swan	SunWater								
<b>Apologies</b>	Nil								
<b>Distribution</b>	As above								
	Nick Stanton	SunWater	Jacobs-						
	Travis van den Berg	SunWater							
	Gordon Delaney	SunWater							

No.	Item	✓	Details and Comments
1.	▪ Welcome	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Welcome to everybody;</li> <li>Thanks for choosing NWM;</li> <li>I am looking forward to spending time with you today and get to see how things are going;</li> <li>15-20 minutes to cover the details about today's audit.</li> </ul>
2.	▪ Introduce your team	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Jeff Ballard – Lead Auditor;</li> <li>Dan Deere – QA.</li> </ul>
3.	▪ State the reasons for the audit	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As required by the Water Supply (Safety and Reliability) Act 2008 (the Act);</li> <li>Client is operating its drinking water services under 2 approved DWQMPs; <i>in Border Rivers Comm.</i></li> <li>Client is required to complete the first regular audit of its approved DWQMP by 31 July 2017 and 15 August 2017.</li> </ul>
4.	▪ State the standard that you are auditing against	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Review and Audit Guideline (DEWS 2013);</li> <li>ISO 19011:2014 - Guidelines for Auditing Management Systems (the generic auditing Guideline).</li> </ul>
5.	▪ Clarify the audit period	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>First audit from the date from the <del>original</del> approved plan.</li> </ul>
6.	▪ Finalise the audit agenda and scope – go through Site Visit Agenda	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm changes if any.</li> </ul>
7.	▪ Check who is available for the audit	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm attendees;</li> <li>Interviews will only be conducted with people at appropriate levels within the audit scope;</li> <li>If at any time any auditee feels that we are asking questions to the wrong person, that person will need to advise us.</li> </ul>
8.	▪ Confirm any logistics (rooms, lunch, guides, etc.)	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rooms;</li> <li>Lunch;</li> <li>WTP.</li> </ul>
9.	▪ Company Rules / WH&S	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The auditors' intention to following all company rules and safety precautions;</li> <li>Induction required?</li> <li>If we find an issue of significant risk, where it be WH&amp;S or related to DWQ, we will bring it to the attention of the auditee immediately.</li> </ul>
10.	▪ Remind auditees that the audit is confidential	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Make a general commitment to maintain confidentiality related to the evidence gathered and conclusions generated during the audit.</li> </ul>

No.	Item	✓	Details and Comments
11.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Check that any documents sent to you before the audit are still current i.e. work documents are appropriate to the scope</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>List work documents received and are appropriate to the scope:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Client DWQMP;</li> <li>Glenlyon Dam dwqmp-annual report 2015_2016 Rev 0;</li> <li>Glenlyon Dam Water Treatment Plant Water Quality Results.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
12.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State that you are only looking at a sample of the system</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The audit will be a representative sampling of evidence, not a 100% inspection;</li> <li>We believe our sample will provide an accurate snapshot of current operations.</li> </ul>
13.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How information relevant to audit objectives, scope and criteria is collected</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The questions we will ask will mostly be from the list of questions sent to you;</li> <li>If some are not relevant we will remove them from the scope;</li> <li>Some additional questions may be asked to clarify the answers provided;</li> <li>The scope of this audit is how the DWQMP has been implemented;</li> <li>The criteria are the 3 main sections of the state's auditing guideline as described in the site visit plan.</li> <li>information is gathered from a variety of sources including:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>interviews with employees and other persons;</li> <li>observation of activities;</li> <li>documents and records;</li> <li>information systems; and,</li> <li>customer feedback if relevant.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
14.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm how you will communicate findings during the audit</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generally, from the structure of the site visit plan;</li> <li>Verbal information will be reported as verbal;</li> <li>Only verifiable written information is identified as audit evidence and will need to be recorded for the report;</li> <li>We are looking for objective evidence, not subjective;</li> <li>Potential non-conformities will be reviewed with the auditee to ensure evidence is accurate and complete and that reason for nonconformity is understood;</li> <li>Any unresolved differences of opinion concerning evidence and/or findings are recorded;</li> <li>There will be a follow up session just prior to the closing meeting to close out any outstanding questions;</li> <li>Gradings will be: Compliant, Opportunity of Improvement / Minor Non-Compliance / Major Non-Compliance, Critical Non-Compliance.</li> </ul>
15.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Confirm time, location and attendees for the closing meeting</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>From Site Visit Plan.</li> </ul>
16.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allow any questions</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil</li> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>
17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Thanks again</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Thank you again for choosing us, and we look forward to today.</li> </ul>
18.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other notes</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil</li> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>

Jeff Ballard

Auditor Name

Auditor Signature





NWM-Tem107 DWQMP Audit - Closing Meeting Revision 0

No.	Item	✓	Details and Comments
9.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State your conclusions of the audit (compliant etc.)</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See previous item</li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>
10.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>State any situations encountered during the audit that may affect reliability of results advised by audit team leader</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
11.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tell the auditees when they will receive the audit report</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Within 2 weeks.</li> </ul>
12.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tell the auditees what they are expected to do once they receive the report</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Under Section 6.2 of the guideline, submit the report, including Statutory Declarations to DEWS.</li> </ul>
13.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allow any questions</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil</li> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>
14.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Thanks again</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>.</li> </ul>
15.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other notes</li> </ul>	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nil</li> <li></li> <li></li> <li></li> </ul>



## Appendix C – Photographic Evidence







Carbon Filter and Sand Filter



Carbon Filter Backwash Controller 004 - Backwash Duration Shown















CWS Tank No3 Delivery Pipe Water Sampling 003

14/07/2017 10:12 AM



General Site Photo 014 - Raw Water No1 and Intermediate BW No4 Tank

14/07/2017 10:46 AM









General Site Photo 017 - CWS No3 Tank Roof Vent

14/07/2017 10:47 AM



Intake 006 - Floating Intake Shown In Background

14/07/2017 10:56 AM



Intake 008

14/07/2017 10:58 AM



Sand Filter Backwash Controller 002 - Used Only in Manual Mode

14/07/2017 9:47 AM







## Appendix D – Audit Checklist and Detailed Findings

---



# Audit Checklist

Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions	Evidence	Findings and Discussions	Compliance Grade	Recommendation / OFI
<b>Headquarters Audit - Border Rivers DWQMP</b>					
<b>Combined Audit Part 1 - Verify accuracy of monitoring and performance data</b>					
Verification monitoring	<b>How does the auditee ensure compliance between the DWQMP and the verification monitoring program?</b>				
	Do you continually actively improve the verification water quality analysis program in various respects?	Letter from Toowoomba Regional Council Lab.	SunWater has a Quality Assurance System in accordance with ISO9001 and undergoes QA audits. Monthly bacteriological testing has been undertaken and documents viewed for the past 2 years of results. Local lab does testing and has been optimised where the tests are taken to ensure fast turn around times. Program hasn't changed but the management has. SunWater's management systems were discussed and viewed and are described in detail later.	Compliant	
	How extensive is the current program and covers the full range of chemical, physical and microbial parameters that would be expected for its water supplies?	DWQMP. Latest annual heavy metals test.	Monthly and annual tests are undertaken. Total coliform, E.coli and HPC are undertaken. Specific testing for Giardia and Cryptosporidium isn't done as E.coli is relied upon. Page 534 of the DWQMP - Risk Assessment discusses "Pathogens or nitrates / nitrites" and in particular giardia and protozoa. E.coli is named as the "Operating Parameter" which is not adequate. Cryptosporidium and Giardia are also mentioned as a risk for the Burdekin Dam and should be recorded in the operating log.	OFI	Specific testing for Giardia and Cryptosporidium should be done. It is suggested that this occur during peak inflow events in the treated and raw water. As this is a relatively expensive and often returning clear or inconclusive results, it may be prudent to look at models or refer to the HBT guidelines from WSA.
	Do you regularly review your sampling program and make many improvements to it. For instance, a particular effort has been made to ensure that all reservoir zones are included? (i.e. location based, where relevant).	See above 2 questions.	Please see the previous 2 questions. There are not many opportunities for changes.	Compliant	
	Are sampling points changing over time in response to improvements that are identified and in response to growth?	Work Instruction - PRODUCTION-#2130507-v1-BRC-1M-OP-OP_WTP-GLD, BRC-GLD-1D-BUL-CONM-O-ON-WTP Operate	No, given the small size of the scheme, there has been no significant development in the water supply network, if any.	Compliant	
	Does the sample regime provide good coverage of the whole water supply system.		Yes, as the scheme is small the sample regime is adequate.	Compliant	
	Where do you sample taps, on public/council/client land or in other public spaces rather than within private properties?		The majority is on SunWater land with a sample point at the caravan park entrance.	Compliant	
	Are pesticides measured? (if relevant).	Not relevant under this plan.	Not relevant under this plan.		
	<b>How does the auditee ensure the reliability of monitoring results? Consider sampling site selection, sampling, transport of samples, analysis, quality assurance and control, reporting and</b>				
	Have there been any move to have most treated water verification samples collected by laboratory staff rather than operators, with the exception of some remote samples.	Letter from Toowoomba Regional Council Lab.	No. Sites is considered remote and the expense outweighs this option. Local lab does the testing and has been optimised where the tests are taken to ensure fast turn around times.	Compliant	
	Does the laboratory provide training in sampling and calibration to operators? Or do you go to a registered training organisation?	Glenlyon Dam Water Treatment Plant Operator Training 22/6/2016. IH073100 109 Training Material 20160608 Glenlyon.	Not training by a lab but Jacobs conducted training in 2016.	Compliant	
	Do operators take part in the Certificate III module relating to water sampling and making chlorine measurements?	Viewed SOL on screen (see screenshot). Cited current operator list on SOL system. Looked for and found known operator Brendan Swan in the list. Brendan Swan Individual Employee Transcripts and Achievements - Cert III Water Industry Operations 0808 - completed 5/08/2008. Workplace_Compliance_Training_and_Licence_Requirements_Operations.xlsx.	When a new operator starts, his or her name is put to a position which relates to a matrix of required skills. During the audit I viewed the "Compliance Training Matrix (Operations)" inside the SOL system for an 'Operator Maintainer', a Fitter, and an Operator Supervisor. There is a reminder system in place for refresher training. Every site has at least 1 Certificate III operator. As mentioned 1 question earlier, Jacobs has conducted refresher training in 2016.	Compliant	
	What are the sampling frequencies? Do these generally match or exceed the ADWG 'defaults'? (Ref: ADWG Table 9.4 >100,000 = 6/wk/monitoring zone + 1/mon for each 10,000 above 100,000; 5,000-100,000 = 1/wk/monitoring zone + 1/mon for each 5,000 above 5,000; 1,000-5,000 = 1/wk/monitoring zone; or, <1000 = 1/wk/monitoring zone, but balance with logistics.	Viewed the latest GLD and Mutchilba monthly test reports. GLD WTP monitoring results January to July 2017.	The frequency of sampling is as per the DWQMP. Other tests are conducted daily of 3/week. SunWater is comfortable with the level of frequency. This is discussed again later in the questions relating to implementation of operational and verification programs. Monthly testing is the minimum and a change in conditions should prompt additional sampling.	Compliant	

# Audit Checklist

Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions	Evidence	Findings and Discussions	Compliance Grade	Recommendation / OFI
	Do you examine water quality in terms of quality at the meter, and quality at the customer tap? The question is in relation to internal plumbing fittings influencing results.	Refer to the earlier question.	Refer to the earlier question testing is at the point of supply.		
	How are sampling schedules set up (in a database and can be viewed in calendar view mode and Excel format)?	Work Instructions cited.	Work instructions for each month are sent to the operator by either the area supervisor or the operations supervisor. The SAP system auto emails a job position (and that particular person) the month work instruction. Water Quality Data is entered into SAP daily. Each week Jacobs meets with Gordon Delaney of SunWater to review the past week's performance. Jacobs is contracted by SunWater to monitor Water Quality Results and to provide ongoing technical support. For changes, a Change Request is sent to the planners at SunWater Headquarters. The Work Instruction is discussed and feedback occurs. Where agreed, changes are made and an updated document is finalised and added to the SAP system.	Compliant	
	How is the chain of custody managed? NATA?	Tracking number 170119 was viewed and checked on a GLD WTP Monthly Report.	All monthly testing is laboratory NATA certified. Operators take a sample, a sticker is placed on the sample bottle, upon sampling a number is created and copied onto the log sheet with time, data and location of sample. This is a clear process as the ID is unique to the location, date, and time.	Compliant	
	Are water and wastewater samples separated to avoid a false positive? (if relevant).	Not required.	There is a sewerage treatment plant at Glenlyon Dam, however this has no monitoring requirement, hence there is no opportunity for samples to be mixed up.	Compliant	
	How are the test results kept? Is there a traceable history?	Viewed SAP records for daily, weekly and monthly data.	The operator physically enters the data into SAP which has a tracking number for verification testing. Lab results are checked against entered information and a weekly report is done by Jacobs. The entered information to SAP has a notification system attached to it that sends a group email to 1) a group email address at Jacobs 2) Gordon Delaney and Aaron Bill, 3) Site managers and operators, and the area general manager. A verbal or call is also made on a presumption of a positive result to operators when exported data is reviewed by Jacobs via monthly trends. Adverse results are reported by exception.	Compliant	
	How are the results reported internally? i.e. for negative results, what happens? Any highlighting? Process improvement notice?	SunWater Water/Wastewater Treatment On Call Arrangements 8/9/16 Version 1. WSRO17 Notice of Noncompliance with Water Quality Criteria - Drinking Water form.	SunWater explained the QA and management process which aligns with the DWQMP flowchart for incidents. A call is made from the laboratory for all failed results. Once the test certificate is complete, this is emailed from the lab to multiple people. These people follow the incident. This incident is lodged in the SIMON system by the first person to see the incident. A notice is sent to DEWS. See previous question for additional information.	Compliant	
	How are target exceedances managed in comparison to ADWG exceedances?	Not required.	Only microbiological exceedances are managed. It is recommended that chemical and physical exceedances be also covered under the above <i>internal</i> reporting regime to avoid exceedances proactively. Test results for pH and Cl2 were checked during the audit. A separate question has covered this issue.		
	Can any of the negative samples be left and not addressed? How is this avoided?	Viewed the RMSS system on screen.	Multiple people receive the email from the lab. The process used is discussed below: 1) Person opens in RMSS (with action list and traceable actions) 2) A report is sent to the regulator 3) Actions are taken 4) Part B - the investigation is concluded. 5) In addition to this procedure, there is a management in terms of reporting - e.g. Executive General Manager of Operations and Services provides a monthly CEO report 6) Final incident reports cannot be sent to the regulator until it has been closed out. 7) Final signoff must occur in RMSS	Compliant	
	Audit some records of a sample of results through from sample receipt to reporting.	DWQMP flowchart to manage incidents, plus RMSS Procedure QS02-G1-Safety-Incident-Management-Guidelines, QS02-G02-Incident-Classification-and-Statistical-Reporting-Guide, QS02-Safety-Incident-Management-Framework	The were no incidents for Glenlyon Dam in the past 12 months of records.	Compliant	
	Look at a sample - has client measured temperature data with every microbial test sample.	Toowoomba Regional Council Lab Services Report of 20/6/2017, GLD reported cited for Batch No.17/2320. Water Sample Log 10/7/2017 - 13/7/2017.	The auditor asked to see where temperature was recorded. A lab report was provided but found to not have reported temperature, however labs do not have to report this. It was later found that temperature is recorded in the field when sampling. This is particularly important for discovering trends in data with seasonal changes. This document was signed.	Compliant	
	How have such monitoring results been reported to DEWS?	2016 Annual report cited.	All results are reported to DEWS in the annual report which is also provided on the SunWater website. Please also refer back to previous questions on exceedance reporting.	Compliant	
Operational monitoring	<b>How does the auditee ensure compliance between the DWQMP and the SCADA systems?</b>				
	Are the relevant parameters measured on SCADA? - check plan again screen information.	Field verification of practices. Work Instruction - PRODUCTION- #2130507-v1-BRC-1M-OP-OP-WTP-GLD, BRC-GLD-1D-BUL-CONM-O-ON-WTP Operate.	GLD has an Odis filtration package plant with SCADA and a degree of automation. The use of the SCADA was discussed a length and it was concluded that the SCADA is only used in addition to the manual checking system used. This is to be consistent with SunWater's other operating WTPs. This was later seen in practice in the field where the SCADA is used as a trending guide only and there is a clear distinction between manual sampling where testing is undertaken using hand held instruments, and visually checked and calibrated SCADA. The SCADA data older than approximately 1 month is not kept. pH, Cl2, and Turbidity is recorded.	Compliant	
	How are they monitored, constantly, or by alarm?	See previous question.	See previous question.		



# Audit Checklist

Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions	Evidence	Findings and Discussions	Compliance Grade	Recommendation / OFI
	What occurs after hours? (telemetry)	Work Instruction - PRODUCTION-#2130507-v1-BRC-1M-OP-OP_WTP-GLD, BRC-GLD-1D-BUL-CONM-O-ON-WTP Operate.	GLD plant is automated and can run over night. There was not enough time to follow up with this question.		
	<b>How does the auditee ensure the reliability of monitoring results? Consider analyser sample line site selection, verification and calibration, reporting and communication.</b>				
	Audit some records of a sample of results from the SCADA systems through to reporting.	Work Instruction - PRODUCTION-#2130507-v1-BRC-1M-OP-OP_WTP-GLD, BRC-GLD-1D-BUL-CONM-O-ON-WTP Operate.	SCADA is generally used for alarming and set point control. The only operational monitoring data used is the usual testing undertaken by the operator, which is entered into SAP.	Compliant	
	Is there a process of updating the SCADA alarms in line with monitoring program changes or seasonal changes?	No procedure found.	There is no procedure for this.	OFI	Provide additional information in the GLD work instruction to adjust the SCADA alarms for seasonal changes.
	How have such monitoring results been reported to DEWS?	Annual report.	Please refer back to previous questions on reporting. The SCADA data does not need to be reported.	Compliant	
Additional monitoring and performance data (if any)	Are there additional data sets worth noting?	Glenlyon Dam Water Treatment Plant Water Quality Results 6/7/2016 - 14/6/17.	Every required parameter is recorded in SAP e.g. turbidity was checked for inclusion and was observed to be in the system.	Compliant	
End of Part 1					
<b>Combined Audit Part 2 - Assessment of compliance with the plan</b>					
The provisions and conditions in the approval notice	Auditor to review plan against approval notice for accuracy.	2/2/16. DEWS Information Notice for the Decision.	The plan was checked against the approval notice of 2/2/16 and found no issues.	Compliant	
	Is there an updated approval notice?	Not used.	No.		
	How have you actively recorded and tracked compliance with its obligations under the approval notices?	Annual Report 2016. Jacobs Contract with SunWater.	The Jacobs contract has conditions on reporting deadlines. No incidents had occurred therefore there was nothing to track through. SunWater was audited for process management and found no issues.	Compliant	
Implementation of all preventive measures for managing hazards and hazardous events as described in the plan	How is sewage to potable water cross-contamination mitigated? (if relevant).	Not used.	Not relevant.		
	How is suspected contamination of compromised mains identified and mitigated?	DWQMP incident management flowchart.	Microbiological sampling is undertaken at points of supply. The incident process was reviewed and was found to be similar to other incidents described by SunWater. The flowchart found in the DWQMP was explained and found to be robust.	Compliant	
	Do you have sufficient storage to avoid being forced to supply breached water in the event of short exceedances? How long can you store water for?	Work Instruction - PRODUCTION-#2130507-v1-BRC-1M-OP-OP_WTP-GLD, BRC-GLD-1D-BUL-CONM-O-ON-WTP Operate.	From a discussion with SunWater it would not supply water under such circumstances. This is assessed on a case by case basis using the flowchart. A review of the procedures found no evidence of a stop supply procedure or to truck water in.	OFI	Up date the work instructions to include a stop supply procedure and circumstances where tankered water and/or bottled would be required.
Implementation of operational and maintenance procedures	How are assets maintained in a secure, functional and readily operable state in order to protect water quality outcomes?	Jacobs Contract. Operational and service work instructions CM01-Corrective - Day to Day Work Order 5202532 5/7/2017.	As part of the Jacobs contract Jacobs must undertake a 2 yearly asset condition assessment. This is in addition to the operational and service work instructions where the SAP system produces the required work (monthly, 6 monthly, yearly) to be undertaken under the scheduled maintenance program. Assets can be tacked via their Asset ID. Jacobs provides a heavy maintenance list which is programmed into SAP.	Compliant	
	How are materials that may come into contact with water (e.g. pipes and jointing compounds) sourced, stored and quality assured?	DM01_F9 checklist from SIMON.	This is undertaken on a project by project basis by Jacobs where requirements are presented in design and construction specifications calling up a relevant Aust Standard. DM01_F9 is used as a high level checklist and relies on persons with a knowledge of DWQM. This question was also asked in the field where an OFI has been suggested.		
Implementation of the process for managing incidents and emergencies as described in the plan	How does the auditee maintain readiness to response to water quality incidents? Consider detection and communication of incident triggers, duty arrangements, incident management facilities and documents.	DWQMP flowchart for incidents and SIMON system.	The SIMON system is used for safety aspects where a safety team is on call continuously. This system manages responsibilities and progress including sign off.		
	Have there been any examples of incidents during the audit period?	DWQMP flowchart for incidents and SIMON system, QA system. CM01-F3-HSE-Pre-Construction-Risks.	The SIMON system is used for safety aspects where a safety team is on call continuously. This system manages responsibilities and progress including sign off. CM01-F3-HSE-Pre-Construction-Risks contains a section on Water Quality which can relate to raw water quality changes due to construction or maintenance work such as channel cleaning. The flowchart from the DWQMP was explained which was found to be satisfactory. There is also a management reporting structure which overlays this process.	Compliant	
	How have incidents been reported to DEWS? If no examples, how would this be done (e.g. flowchart, escalation reporting protocol, names and phone numbers)? What is sent to QLD Health? What is sent to the public?		Refer to previous discussions. There have been no incidents, however some poor water quality observations were escalated using SunWater's framework, which has demonstrated the process is working.	Compliant	
	Have you practiced mock incidents during the audit period?	Nil available.	SunWater advised that this is done informally and at desktop level during the development of the DWQMP flowchart.	OFI	It is recommended that site personnel and headquarters undergo a mock incident and document the findings and update procedures if required.

# Audit Checklist

Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions	Evidence	Findings and Discussions	Compliance Grade	Recommendation / OFI
	Can you demonstrate that you have proactively and continually improved your monitoring program (revision control)? We are looking for feed back from the field that triggers changes to the program.	DWQMP, Work instructions.	SunWater has indicated that, given the small scheme size, they have found that the monitoring program is suitable. Please refer to a separate question where additional testing is recommended for pesticides, and a separate question for threshold value triggers and have not been repeated here.		
	Are SCADA set points entered correctly into the system and match the monitoring plan? Check Turbidity, Cl2, and pH.	Not relevant.	SCADA is not available at headquarters for this plant. Please see the field audit for further discussion.		
	Let's run through the improvement plan and pick 3 critical examples of actions that should now be complete - are they complete? If not what is being done?	DWQMP Improvement Plan Page 532. Training records. SOL system, Work Instruction - PRODUCTION-#2130507-v1-BRC-1M-OP-OP_WTP-GLD, BRC-GLD-1D-BUL-CONM-O-ON-WTP Operate.	Item 1) Training has been undertaken - Refer to SOL system. Item 2) The Colitag system was investigated decided to not proceed. Item 3) Operations Manual up data - Review of operating manual not undertaken, instead the work instruction was created.	Compliant	
	Who is responsible for operating the system and what are their credentials with respect to training, experience and qualifications?	Viewed SOL on screen (see screenshot). Cited current operator list on SOL system. Looked for and found known operator Brendan Swan in the list. Brendan Swan Individual Employee Transcripts and Achievements - Cert III Water Industry Operations 0808 - completed 5/08/2008. Workplace_Compliance_Training_and_Licence_Requirements_Operations.xlxs.	2 operators were searched for and were found with Certificate III training. From Jacobs, experience was viewed for Nick Stanton. For SunWater experience was reviewed for Gordon Delaney and Travis van Berg. These were found to be satisfactory. The management systems were reviewed under previous questions and found to meet the DWQMP requirements.	Compliant	
Undertaking regular reviews at the frequency specified in the approval notice. End of Part 2	What reviews have occurred since the plan approval?	September 2015 DWQMP Version.	This plan has not been changed since first written in 2015. SunWater plans to update this version to include changes as a result of this audit. As the plan is still current there are no issues currently.	OFI	As the plan review is due by 1/8/17 there will be a timing conflict and suggest future audits be conducted earlier and ahead of plan revisions.
<b>Combined Audit Part 3 - Assessment of relevance of the plan as it currently exists</b>					
Assessing whether the service description and details of infrastructure in the plan reflect the current circumstances for each scheme	What has changed since the DWQMP was approved by DEWS? These changes may include personnel, procedures, documents, records, responsibilities, environment, infrastructure, regulations, legislation, guidelines or organisational structure and contractors.	Previously mentioned work instructions.	As above - the major change has been the introduction of the work instructions for the site. The DWQMP incident management flow chart will be updated to reflect management structure changes where required but it is currently in a sound state.	Compliant	
Confirming the information in the plan used to identify hazards and hazardous events reflects the current circumstances for each scheme (including catchment characteristics, water quality information and infrastructure)	How has the risk assessment and DWQMP been updated to reflect those changes? How are improvement needs identified and how are improvements made and managed? How have such changes been reported to DEWS?	Annual report, management systems viewed.	No change in water source or plant. SunWater's management processes are adequate to capture improvement needs. The annual report is used to notify DEWS of any changes.	Compliant	
End of Part 3					

# Audit Checklist

Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions	Evidence	Findings and Discussions	Compliance Grade	Recommendation / OFI
Glenlyon Dam WTP Field Audit					
Border Rivers Scheme DWQMP Part 4 - Assessment of compliance with the plan					
Implementation of all preventive measures for managing hazards and hazardous events as described in the plan	How are assets maintained in a secure, functional and readily operable state in order to protect water quality outcomes?	SAP System plus, Work Instruction - PRODUCTION-#2130507-v1-BRC-1M-OP-OP_WTP-GLD, BRC-GLD-1D-BUL-CONM-O-ON-WTP Operate, GLD WTP O&M Manual Version 10/3/2015. 3 months of daily check correspondence viewed. DWQMP page 507 Table 5.3 and Test Report 17-2320-[R00], Water Quality 5 Email Correspondence 21 April to 30 June 2017.	There are a number of locations in the reference work instruction to request maintenance where infrastructure is damaged or worn. This goes into a scheduled maintenance plan with various frequencies depending on the asset e.g. site safety, pumps, motors, filter, etc. For the filter, once maintenance, it is checked for water quality performance. O&M Manual Section 3 - Operations cited. Pumps are run to failure but are replaceable within 1 day (due to their small size they are an of the shelf item. There is 2 days of clearwater storage available. The operator has changed the pumps from a 3 phase connection to a signed phase for convenient change out. Carbon filter media replacement and tank cleaning is scheduled for every 12 months. There is an automatic scheduled media filter backwash and rinse cycle every 14 days. Manual backwashing occurs on the sand filter although this was originally setup as automatic. O&M Manual Section 3.4.3 Activated Carbon Filter viewed - This discusses auto backwash only. Currently the operator manually backwashes the carbon filter as the backwash and rinse settings were not long enough. The run times for backwashing and rinsing are 10 and 2 minutes respectively. The operator undertakes a second backwash run immediately after the first to improve filter performance. He has also been backwashing twice a week instead of the 14 day cycle. Whilst the turbidity is usually below 1 NTU, the colour is quite high - the lowest test was 66 HU on the inspection date. There is correspondence with Jacobs on the turbidity and colour, however there is no procedure to adjust the filter (x2) management settings when the water source changes. Coagulant dosing or different carbon and sand filter media selection may be investigated to provide better water in terms of colour (and turbidity improvements). The raw water intake position was checked for optimisation and records indicated that this is being done. The floating intake has been removed from service. Test report 17-2320-[R00] showed turbidity above 1.0 which under the DWQMP is called up as a "critical limit". As this is an aesthetic parameter in ADWG (and not considered water quality criteria), the DWQMP limit should be investigated. The 1 NTU target in the DWQMP on face value may well be important to the WTP designer and should be clarified prior to any amendment. Correspondence between the WTP operator and Jacobs has classified the high turbidity (<5 NTU) as not an incident. No positive E.coli results have been linked to these turbidity results. Also, this discussion does not fit Special Condition No.2 of the approval notice "The detection of a parameter with no water quality criteria must be reported to the Regulator if you believe this parameter cannot be managed under your approved amended Drinking Water Quality Management Plan and you believe or are concerned that public health may be impacted."	OFI	Update the O&M manual to include a procedure to change filter management settings for changes in source water. Investigate returning the sand filter to automatic backwash. This involves valve automation. Investigate options for colour improvement. This may involve media changes or special cleaning or coagulant introduction. It is suggested that the backwash wash be sourced from the clear water storage rather than the pre-filtrate water as it is cleaner. The current operating procedure item 36 for backwashing the sand filter indicates that the backwash water is sourced from the treated water tanks, however it is understood it is sourced from the pre-filtrate tank. Investigate the reason for the 1 NTU limit in the DWQMP and if it is critical to the WTP's operational performance. If not, amend the DWQMP. If it is, then the WTP design will need to be reviewed.
	Are water and wastewater crews, tools and equipment are largely separated?	Verbal confirmation that no wastewater crews are part of SunWater's local presence.	The caravan park located near the WTP has a reticulated sewerage septic system. SunWater formerly managed this but is now separate.		
	How are parts, fittings and chemicals that might come into contact with drinking water stored? Are they clean, in good condition, and in date?	Site visit and photographs.	From the site visit, the chemicals are stored in 3 bunded half barrels. 4 x 20L drums are purchased at one time lasting approximately 4 weeks. These were viewed to be generally clean. The chemical containers was viewed however there were no use by date labels on the "Focus Pool Liquid Chlorine" containers. Chlorine degrades quickly and has a maximum life of 12 months, temperature dependent. Chlorine residual is monitored daily. There are alarms for low and high Cl2 and for power loss.	OFI	It is suggested that the Work Instruction - BRC-1m-op-op WTP-GLD, be updated to include date checking of this chemical.
	How are the stored materials that may come into contact with water (e.g. pipes and jointing compounds) sourced and quality assured (inventory kept and traced)?	BRC-1m-op-op WTP-GLD	Pipes are sourced from a local supplier. The pipework <i>appears</i> to be 25 and 30mm irrigation poly pressure pipe (Irrigation pipe includes rubber to modify the flexibility for irrigation purposes and is possibly not food grade). No labels could be found on the pipework. As the pipe could also be "PE Pressure pipe – AS/NZS 4130 PE 100 Black SDR41 PN4" (i.e. fully black with no defining stripes), it is unclear as to the pipe origin(s) and should be investigated.	OFI	It is suggested that Work Instruction - BRC-1m-op-op WTP-GLD, be updated to include Australian Standard or WaterMark checking of pipes and related products. Check the purchase history of the pipework. Add a procedure for pipeline repairs.
	Is the site fully fenced and secured?	Site visit and photographs.	The WTP site is fully fenced and locked.	Compliant	
	How is vermin prevented from getting into the clear water storage? Is the ladder locked? Condition of infrastructure.	Site visit and photographs.	The 2 clear water storage tanks are fully roofed. Vent pipes are screened. Most tank hatches are sealed however tank 3 lid does not sit in the hole and sits loosely over it. It also has broken gauze which needs to be fixed. There is a low risk of vermin getting in however.	OFI	Fix the roof hatch gauze and seat the hatch properly.
	How well are you aware of cross-contamination risks?	Dwg 220497 Rev A and site visit.	1) At the WTP, the pipework was inspected and found that there was 1 opportunity for a short circuit to occur. Item No.44 in the work instruction clearly shows a procedure to avoid potential short-circuiting from the raw water tank to the intermediate tank. If short circuiting was to occur the carbon filter would require to work harder. An OFI has been raised previously to automate the valving during the sand filter backwash and is therefore not repeated here. 2) The sand filter is backwashed with pre filtrate water from the intermediate storage. The backwash goes directly to ground. 3) The carbon filter is backwashed with pre filtrate water from the intermediate storage. as previously discussed, it is suggested that the clear water storage be used. The carbon filter undergoes a rinse cycle prior to production to the clear water storage.	Compliant	
	Water stabilisation - is there enough buffering capacity and corrosion inhibition of the distributed water (pH control)?	GLD TWS - records of monitoring results 2017, 13/2/17 to 3/3/17, 9/1/17 to 20/1/17, 15/9/16 to 7/10/16.	The operator informed the auditor that no lime dosing is required. There was no information available to investigate this question. However the pH results were adequate for the 3 periods investigated. These were 7.0-7.3, 7.1-7.5 and 7.2-7.6 respectively. A previous question raised an OFI for colour reduction and an OFI has not been raised here to avoid duplication.	Compliant	

# Audit Checklist

Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions	Evidence	Findings and Discussions	Compliance Grade	Recommendation / OFI
	Does the plant have a bypass? If so, is there any chance that untreated water can bypass the plant and enter the drinking water system?	Dwg 220497 Rev A and site visit.	The auditor checked the plan and went through the site pipework with the operator and found nothing to suggest that a bypass exists.	Compliant	
Implementation of operational and maintenance procedures	Can I see where you store the site procedures? Are these up to date? Can you perform all of your inspections using these procedures? Are there any missing?	Cited on site.	Safe work method statement, AM28 etc, are either mailed from Goondiwindi to site or downloaded and printed daily or when used to ensure the latest version is used. The paper versions are kept in the daily log book. Generally, all current tasks can be performed using the printouts. This audit check only covered the daily procedure.	Compliant	
	Do you have jar testing equipment on site? Are they functional? Is there a jar testing procedure?	Nil	Jar tests are not required for this plant, however we refer to a previous question which discussed an OFI for colour reduction and an OFI has not been raised here to avoid duplication.		
Implementation of the operational and verification monitoring programs as described in the plan	What are the operational monitoring instruments reading during the audit, how does that compare to the DWQMP, and how are the instruments and SCADA outputs routinely verified and calibrated?	O&M Manual, GLD Work Instruction.	As discussed earlier SCADA is present for the WTP. Turbidity, pH and Cl2 residual are measured on a hand held device as well as SCADA. The manual readings are entered into SAP which has an automatic alert for parameters that are exceeded. The SCADA and handheld readings were consistent. The SCADA is "challenged" twice a week to ensure consistency. The hand held devices are calibrated monthly. It is suggested that the O&M manual could be amended to add this procedure.	OFI	Amend the O&M manual to include a procedure for maintaining the SCADA.
	What are the SCADA system process control set points during the audit, how do they compare to the DWQMP, and how are they modified and controlled? i.e. Are SCADA set points entered correctly into the system and match the monitoring plan? Check Turbidity, Cl2, and pH. Record real time and last 6 months of data.	BRC-1m-op-op WTP-GLD, GLD DWQMP 2015 Glenlyon Dam dwqmp-annual report 2015_2016 Rev 0 Glenlyon Dam Water Treatment Plant Water Quality Results 05/07/2017.	SCADA data for the WTP is stored on site with the set points in accordance with the DWQMP. See above question for checks undertaken during the audit. There are alarms for low and high Cl2 and for power loss. Manual checks are undertaken in lieu of automated checks. These are compared to AM28. A review of the documentation (DWQMP page 498) showed that the chlorine residual at the caravan park was variable between approx. 0.4 - 3.6 mg/L. The chlorine residual at the clear water storage (DWQMP page 499) also varied between approx. 0.3-5 mg/L. The recent water quality information spreadsheet for 07/07/16 - 15/06/17 showed township residual is between 0.7-2.4 mg/L, which is an improvement from the DWQMP. There have been no recorded positive E.coli results. pH was found to consistently be within the acceptable range.	Compliant	
	How are chemicals, standards and reagents stored and maintained to ensure their quality and efficacy? Consider both treatment chemicals that are added to the water and laboratory chemicals used for monitoring purposes.	Site visit and photographs.	From the site visit, the lab chemicals are stored in the WTP shed. These were viewed to be in date. There is no inventory check. There is no procedure to ensure that chemicals are checked for expiry.	OFI	It is suggested that the Work Instruction be updated to include date checking of lab testing chemicals and for inventory stock.
	Are instruments adequately housed? Are they identified for QA control - calibration?	Site visit.	There are no records for instrument calibration. The operator informed that auditor that calibrations are done monthly.	OFI	It is suggested that the Work Instruction, be updated to include instrument calibration and records.
	How often are the critical limit parameters checked?	Site visit and Water Quality 5 Email Correspondence 21 April to 30 June 2017.	This is done daily for cl2, pH and turbidity. Verification is done monthly for E.coli. ADWG (page 95) requires a minimum of weekly testing for E.coli. However it also discusses balancing testing on remote sites against logistics, risk profile, and risk mitigation processes. It also discusses regular physical inspections (operational monitoring) can be more beneficial than infrequent E.coli testing. This appears to be the situation at GLD. The DWQMP does not discuss frequency however the improvement plan discusses increasing the frequency of NATA drinking water quality testing to help manage non-compliant or poor drinking water quality from lack of operator training, formal qualifications or industry certification. A series of emails were reviewed for their actions against high turbidity and chlorine limits and were found to be acceptable in terms of actions undertaken.	OFI	Review the DWQMP in regards to either improving operator training or more frequent verification testing or both.
	Can you show me the calibration records for a turbidity meter (look for compliance frequency)?	See previous 2 items.	See previous 2 items.		See previous 2 items.
	Are there independent checks undertaken by a laboratory?	Toowoomba Regional Council Lab Reports cited.	Yes, these were viewed and checked for negative results.	Compliant	
	Check for a fluoridation plant - if relevant, check for calibration and if QLD Health has also done an audit within the past year.	Verbal confirmation that there is no fluoridation plant for the scheme.	Not relevant.		
Maintaining records using the information management systems as described in the plan	How are records stored and reported as they relate to water quality operational monitoring?	SAP system on computer terminal.	The auditor cited the written water quality records.	OFI	There is an opportunity to add target parameters in addition to threshold parameters. This may assist in avoiding poor water quality events.
End of Part 4					
<b>Border Rivers Scheme DWQMP Part 5 - Assessment of relevance of the plan as it currently exists</b>					
Assessing whether the service description and details of infrastructure in the plan reflect the current circumstances for each scheme	How does the infrastructure in the field compare to the DWQMP description? Field inspect random samples from the catchment, source, treatment and network for the selected system and compare to the DWQMP description.	Site visit, photographs and Dwg 220497 Rev A.	The infrastructure was inspected from the source water (the dam) through the WTP and to the caravan park. The DWQMP description was generally consistent. The schematic Dwg 220497 Rev A - "Glenlyon Dam Drinking Water Quality Management Plan Process & Instrumentation Diagram", will require a minor revision. We have marked up the drawing to show the changes needed. There is a floating intake but this has been removed from service. The optimised water quality is determined by water quality analysis each month and the various level intakes are then selected and used. Of note was that it was not mentioned in the DWQMP but it was advised that the floating intake had only recently been removed. The current setup is in accordance with the current DWQMP.	OFI	Update schematic Dwg 220497 Rev A. Investigate why the floating intake was removed from service. This may assist in improving colour and turbidity issues experienced.


Audit Checklist



Audit Topic	Audit Questions and Actions	Evidence	Findings and Discussions	Compliance Grade	Recommendation / OFI
Confirming the information in the plan used to identify hazards and hazardous events reflects the current circumstances for each scheme (including catchment characteristics, water quality information and infrastructure)	Subject to the above question, if there are differences, are there any significant risk issues? When was this last reviewed?		The differences identified above are not significant in terms of water quality risk. The catchment was discussed and found to not have seen any significant changes.	Compliant	

End of Part 5





#### OPERATIONAL CONTROLS:

1. Level electrodes in Raw Water Tank control pump at dam via telemetry.
2. Level electrodes in Intermediate Storage Tank control Sand Filter feed pump.
3. Level electrodes in Clear Water Storage Tanks control AC Filter and UV Filter feed pump.
4. Water level equalisation in Clear Water Storage Tanks via common line.

#### LEGEND

(P)	PUMP	(PG)	PRESSURE GAUGE
FM	FLOW METER	(PP)	PRESSURE PUMP
FS	FLOW SENSOR	(MV)	MOTORISED VALVE
X	VALVE	(SV)	SOLENOID VALVE
NR	NON - RETURN VALVE	(PR)	PRESSURE REDUCING VALVE
(CF)	CARTRIDGE FILTER	(F)	FILTER
PFS	PICKET FENCE STIRRER	(FV)	FLOAT VALVE
(DF)	DISC FILTER		

SCALES (A3 SIZE)

NOT TO SCALE

DRAWN	DESIGNED
DAB	
CHECKED	CHECKED
APPROVED	



**GLENLYON DAM**  
**DRINKING WATER**  
**QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN**  
**PROCESS & INSTRUMENTATION DIAGRAM**

CONTRACT NUMBER
DRAWING NUMBER
<b>220497</b>
DATE JULY 2003
A

## Appendix E – Auditor and Auditee Statutory Declarations

---



**Project** 2017-0009 Regulatory Audit – Border Rivers' DWQMP  
**Subject** Drinking Water Quality Management Plan  
**Client** SunWater

**Oaths Act 1867**  
**Statutory Declaration**

QUEENSLAND TO  
WIT

I, **Jeffrey Reid Ballard** of 8 Grande Parade, DOUGLAS, QLD, 4814, in the State of Queensland do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

I am **certified under the Drinking Water-Quality Management System Auditor Certification Scheme**.

To the best of my knowledge, information and belief, I have not knowingly included any false, misleading or incomplete information in the report, nor knowingly failed to reveal any relevant information or document to the regulator.

I certify that the report addresses the relevant matters for evaluation and is factually correct and that the opinions expressed in the report are honestly and reasonably held.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and by virtue of the Oaths Act 1867.

Declarer Signature

*J Ballard*

Taken and declared at

Town or city and suburb

*Townsville*

this *9th, August, 2017*, before me.

Date

Witness Signature

*[Signature]*



Justice of the Peace / Commissioner for Declarations

**STATUTORY DECLARATION - AUDITOR**

NWM-Tem109 DWQMP Audit - Statutory Declaration - Auditor Revision 0

**Project** 2017-0009 Regulatory Audit – Border Rivers' DWQMP  
**Subject** Drinking Water Quality Management Plan  
**Client** SunWater

**Oaths Act 1867  
Statutory Declaration**QUEENSLAND TO  
WIT

I, GORDON DELANEY of 179 TURBOST ST, BRISBANE  
name of the person making the declaration on behalf of the provider Address

in the State of Queensland do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

I am the MANAGER ENVIRONMENT + WATER PLANNING of SUNWATER 17 020 276 523  
position title of person authorised to sign this declaration on behalf of the provider Provider ABN

Through the course of the regular audit of the drinking water quality management plan by Jeffrey Reid Ballard for the audit period ending

2017  
year of audit

, which has resulted in this regular audit report dated,

28/07/2017  
date

, that officers and employees of

SUNWATER  
provider name

have not knowingly given any false or misleading information, and have given all relevant information to the auditor who conducted the regular audit of the plan mentioned above.

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true, and by virtue of the Oaths Act 1867.

  
Declarer Signature

Taken and declared at

BRISBANE  
Town or city and suburbthis 3 / 08 / 2017, before me.  
Date  
Witness SignatureJACQUELINE THERESE ROPER  
C.DEC NO 65328

Justice of the Peace / Commissioner for Declarations