

## SUMMARY OF SHORTLISTED OPTIONS FOR CALLIDE DAM (WATER SUPPLY DAM) – FOR FLOOD ATTENUATION BENEFITS

NO.	OPTION SUMMARY	DESCRIPTION	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
1	Maintain existing automatic operations  + enhanced messaging based on additional early warning triggers  + improved coordination with LDMG	<ul> <li>Maximum operating level (MOL) of dam to match full supply level (FSL) at 216.1m – i.e. up to 100% normal capacity</li> <li>Spillway gates to open automatically once level reaches FSL, and progressively open or close as level changes</li> <li>Currently operating at reduced MOL (95% capacity) due to dam safety monitoring exercise (will continue as long as monitoring is required)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Equipped for all operating conditions, including cyclones (as no manual gate operation required during event)</li> <li>No impact on water supply availability</li> <li>Least cost (nil)</li> </ul>	Least flood attenuation benefits of shortlisted options (though still better than if no dam present)
2	Lower maximum operating level (MOL) to 90%	<ul> <li>Lower MOL to 215.0m (90%) to provide some 'air space' or flood storage (10% = 13,600ML)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provides additional flood storage up to 13,600ML (10%)</li> <li>Minor benefit to immediate downstream area</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Possible very minor impact on customer supply/reliability (&lt;1%), though essentially negligible</li> </ul>
	(utilise fully automatic gate operations)	<ul> <li>When level rises above MOL, slowly release water from the outlet pipes to bring level back to MOL</li> <li>If level continues to rise (inflows exceed outlet valve capacity), water will fill to FSL and gates will commence automatic operation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No requirement for human intervention during event (no manual gate operations, except to reduce to 90% capacity after event)</li> <li>Least cost (nil)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No benefit for large volume floods (such as in 2013)</li> <li>No measurable benefit to flooding downstream of Biloela to Jambin</li> <li>Does not reduce risk of gates automatically opening and ramping-up to peak outflows over a short duration</li> </ul>
3	Maximum operating level (MOL) at 100%  + make early releases based on actual rainfall on the ground  (utilise simple manual gate operations for early releases, fixed at 266m³/s = 23,000 ML per day)	<ul> <li>Make early 'event releases' at start of rain event</li> <li>Manually operate gates to allow early releases of water capped at 266m³/s (a rate at which downstream flows remain within the banks of Callide Creek)</li> <li>Manual gate operation dependant on:         <ul> <li>Real (not predicted) rainfall recorded in dam catchment</li> <li>Significant event forecast (modelling predicts FSL will be reached)</li> <li>Dam level is above a nominated minimum trigger level (eg. 70%) to reduce risk of unnecessary releases</li> </ul> </li> <li>Revert to automatic gate operations if dam level exceeds FSL</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>May provide additional storage through early releases (4-10%) by delaying dam from reaching FSL</li> <li>Provides early visual warning of flows in the creek</li> <li>May provide some reduction in peak flood velocities immediately downstream of dam</li> <li>Modelling on 2015 event shows a reduction in maximum dam outflow from 4,000 to 3,500m³/s – though a lesser benefit on flood depths to immediate downstream area</li> <li>No impact on customer supply/reliability</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires conditions to be safe for manual gate operation</li> <li>Minor gate alterations may be required (approximately \$250,000 to \$400,000) to allow improved control, and to operate remotely in all weather conditions</li> <li>No benefit for large volume floods (such as 2013)</li> <li>No measurable benefit to flooding downstream of Biloela to Jambin</li> </ul>
4	Combines Options 2 & 3:  Reduced maximum operating level (MOL) of 90%  + make early releases based on actual rainfall on the ground  (utilise simple manual gate operations for early releases, fixed at 266m³/s = 23,000 ML per day)	<ul> <li>Lower MOL to 90%</li> <li>Commence early 'event releases' at start of rain event (as per Option 3)</li> <li>Revert to automatic gate operations if dam level exceeds FSL</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially some improved benefit over Options 2 and 3, but marginal (essentially very similar to either option on it's own), and still limited to immediate downstream area</li> <li>Outflows at 266m³/s remain within the bed and banks of creek</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>May require minor gate improvements to allow operation in all weather conditions (as per Option 3)</li> <li>No benefit for large volume floods (such as 2013)</li> <li>No measurable benefit to flooding downstream of Biloela to Jambin</li> <li>Possible very minor impact on customer supply/reliability (&lt;1%), though essentially negligible</li> </ul>
5	Option 4 + enhanced manual gate operations to match outflows with inflows	<ul> <li>Lower MOL to 90%</li> <li>Make early 'event releases' at start of rain event</li> <li>Manually operate gates, as per Option 3 to allow early releases, but allowing for stepped outflows to increase to match increasing inflows into the dam</li> <li>May increase outflows above 266m³/s (23,000 ML/day), up to 800m³/s (69,000 ML/day)</li> <li>Improved hydrologic modelling to mitigate potential impacts and confirm gate operations/outflow</li> <li>Other triggers/rules as for Option 3</li> <li>Revert to automatic gate operations if dam level exceeds FSL</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced flood peaks may provide better flood attenuation immediately downstream</li> <li>Peak flows from Callide Dam typically arrive downstream ahead of peak Kroombit flows – early higher releases will further assist ("spreading" flood out over a longer time, rather than combining as an increased peak)</li> <li>Gives best opportunity for benefits further downstream, though still very limited to negligible, and will result in slightly longer duration flooding.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gate improvements required (approximately \$2,000,000), plus additional costs (flood manual procedures, approvals)</li> <li>More complex gate operations and/or greater potential for human error</li> <li>Reduced flood peaks, but need to manage risk of 'precharging' or flooding downstream areas (from higher early releases), if modelling inaccurate (for smaller floods)</li> <li>Very minor benefit for large volume floods (such as 2013)</li> <li>No measurable benefit to flooding downstream of Biloela</li> <li>Possible very minor impact on customer supply/reliability (&lt;1%), though essentially negligible</li> </ul>

